DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 116 832

RC 008 901

AUTHOR TITLE Ralman, Marjorie, Comp.

State of Illinois Report on Title I, Public Law

89-750, Migrant. 1974 Annual Report.

INSTITUTION PUB DATE

Illinois State Office of Education, Springfield.

PUB DATE [74] NOTE 129p.

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MP-\$0.76 HC-\$6.97 Plus Postage

*Academic Achievement; *Educational 'Assessment;

*Elementary Education; Health Services; Interagency Cooperation; Language Development; *Migrant Child \

Education; Parent Participation; Program
Descriptions; *Program Evaluation; Student

Descriptions; *Program Evaluation; Student Enrollment; Summer Programs; Tables (Data)

IDENTIFIERS . Elementary Secondary Education Act Title I; ESEA

Title I: *Illinois

ABSTRACT

Of the 31 migrant projects funded in fiscal year 1974 (FY 74), 27 (11 regular term and 16 summer term) were classroom programs. There were 365 children enrolled during the regular term and 1,601 during the summer. Children were recruited through: visits by school personnel to the migrant camps, information supplied by other project participants, and the Migrant Student Record Transfer System. Teacher and parent referrals and teacher-made and standardized tests were the most frequently used to determine the children's needs. Subjects taught most often were reading and oral language development during the regular term and reading and mathematics during the summer. Individualized and small group instruction, tutorial assistance, drill; and field trips were used to implement the various activities. Teacher-made tests and observation were most frequently used to assess the students, performance. During the year, medical and dental services were expanded and parental involvement was increased. This report provides: (1) a summary of data and recommendations; (2) descriptions of the migrant summer programs at Princeville, Hoopeston, and Part Porest; (3) an interview with the project director regarding his program assessment and future plans; and (4) a description of program implementation, based on information submitted by the local projects on the PY 74 Self Assessment Questionnaire. (NQ)



OFF

ILLINOIS OFFICE OF EDUCATION 100 NORTH FIRST STREET SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62777

CTION

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EOUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EOUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING 1T POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

CORRECTION

All references to OSPI or SUPT. PUBL. INS TR. refer to STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

FY 74

ANNUAL REPORT ON TITLE I

PUBLIC LAW 89-750 MIGRANT PROJECTS

· [1974]

Re-0089

۲.

ERIC

Division of Supervision and Instruction

Department for Exceptional Children

Educationally Disadvantaged Children Section

Robert H. Hardy, Jr. Director, Title I

Compiled by:

Marjorie Kalman

Program Review and Documentation Unit

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	n	1
Purpose of	Program Fvaluation	2
Chapter 1	Conclusion	3
•	Summarv	3
•	FY 73 Recommendation Follow-Up	6
	FY 74 Recommendations	6
Chapter 2	Case Studies of Three Migrant Projects	8
	Princeville Elementary School Congressional District #18	10
- ,	Hoopeston Elementary School Congressional District #22	- 21
· •	Grace Wigrant Day Care Center Congressional District #17	•29
••	Important Issues Concerning Migrant Education in Illinois	36
Ghapter 4	Migrant Project Implementation	41
	Children Served	89
	Program Activities	93
	Program Objectives and Results	95
	Staffing of Title I Projects	106
	Inservice	108
	Medical and Dental Services	mı
	Parental Involvement	112
	Dissemination and Cooperation With Other Agencies	113



	Migrant Student Record	Transfer System.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	115
	SEA Contact			116
Appendix A	Annual Self Assessment (Questionnaire	. +	,
	Migrant Program Fiscal	Year 1974	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	118

TABLE OF TABLES

Table	4-1	General Overview of Regular Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)	<u>?</u> *
Table	4.2	General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)	l
Table	4.3	Enrollment of Regular and Summer Term Migrants From 1972-1974	9
Table	4.4 .	Number of Regular Term Children Participating by Weeks	1
Table	4.5	Number of Summer Term Children Participating by Weeks	2
Tabĺè	4.6	Rank Order of Regular Term Activities 9	3
Table	4.7	Rank Order of Summer Term Activities 9	4
Table	4.8 4	Regular Term Program Activities and Number Succeeding (1974)	0
Table	4.9	Summer Term-Activities and Number Succeeding (1974)	1
Table	4.10	Regular and Summer Term Bilingual Staff 10	7
Table	4.11	Inservice Participants by Activity and Most Effective Activities	8
Table	4.12	Number of Participants Involved in	2
Table	4.13	Cooperating Programs or Agencies - and Frequency of Contact	3
Table	4.14	Type and Frequency of SEA Contact With Local Projects (1974)	7

INTRODUCTION

In compliance with federal regulation which requires an annual evaluation of Title I Elementary and Secondary Education Act Programs, this report was prepared by the Program Review and Documentation Unit, Department for Exceptional Children, Office of the Superintendent of Pyblic Instruction (hereafter referred to as OSPI).

The migrant program is national in scope in that it attempts to coordinate the work done in the various states that have migrant populations. The Title I migrant program incorporates many of the objectives set for by Dr.

Michael J.—Bakalis, Superintendent of Public Instruction, in Action Goals for the Seventies: An Agenda for Illinois Education (first edition). On pages

All schools will provide a positive learning environment for children of non-English speaking backgrounds. These children should be encouraged to maintain and improve their language skills in both English and their home language. Cultural differences must be respected and destructively discriminatory practices avoided.

Teachers of students with non-English backgrounds will be trained in understanding the students' language and cultural background.

Schools with students of non-English-speaking background will provide special programs to meet their needs.

This report will first provide a summary of data and recommendations, followed by case studies of three migrant sites that were visited during the summer of 1974. An interview with the migrant project director regarding his assessment of the program and his plans for the future will be documented.

Next, program implementation, based on information submitted to the state office by the local projects on the FY 74 Self Assessment Questionnaire, will be described.

PURPOSE OF PROGRAM EVALUATION

An annual state evaluation of Title I ESEA programs is required by federal mandate. The report which follows is filed to fulfill the obligation of the State of Illinois for the evaluation of Title I, Public, Law 89-750 projects.

The evaluation process has a variety of functions. It will provide information for the Federal Government concerning the utilization of Title I funds in the State of Illinois.

Within the state, the report discusses issues relevant to the effective functioning of the Title I 89-750 projects. With this information, the State Educational Agency (SEA) will be able to look more closely at its goals and provide better ways to serve and guide the Local Educational Agencies (LEA's).

Another audience in the state is the migrant project sites. Ideally, they will benefit from the regular self-examination of their own program. The sites may then be able to decide if they want to intensify or alter their programs in terms of emphasis. After the SEA compiles the individual site reports, the projects may benefit from information returned to them by the SEA.

CHAPTER 1

CONCLUSION .

Summary

There were 31 projects funded in FY 74. Of those, 11 regular term and 16 summer term projects were classroom programs. While the number of projects has decreased by six since last year, the total student enrollment for both terms has increased.

Children were located for the projects through visits by school representatives and teachers to the migrant camps, through information supplied by other children and parents in the project, and through the migrant student record transfer system. Teacher referrals, teacher made tests, standardized test scores and parent referrals were the most frequently checked procedures or methods used for determining the needs of the migrant children.

Reading and oral language development were taught most frequently during the regular term, while reading and mathematics were taught most frequently during the summer term. Oral language development, physical education, improving cultural pride/self-identification, art, and bilingual instruction were also popular activities during the summer.

A study that had been conducted at one of the summer projects to determine the best test for ascertaining the learning potential of migrant school children indicated that the Kuhlmann-Anderson Test of Intelligence (seventh edition), under controlled conditions, may be the best intelligence test to administer since the items assess inherent ability and current cognitive skills. This study will be expanded during FY 75 to two other sites.



While the objectives, evaluation methods and standards for success were concisely stated in the migrant proposals, the standards for success that were submitted on the self assessment questionnaires were.

Floser to goals than criteria statements that could be used to measure the success of a program or a teaching technique.

The most frequently used methods for assessing the students' performance in either term were teacher made tests and observation.

Except for the summer term mathematics program, student success was highest when evaluated through observation. Success rates were somewhat higher in the summer projects than in the regular term projects.

Individualized instruction, small group instruction, tutorial assistance, drill and field trips were techniques used most frequently to implement the various activities. Success was attributed to individualized instruction, regular attendance, student motivation, interest and attitude, and interesting, varied activities. Poor attendance, lack of motivation/poor attitude, poor command of English and/or Spanish, and non-participation were cited as the causes for failure.

Teacher-student ratios for the regular term were 1:25, while the ratio for teachers and paid aides to students was 1:11. During the summer term, the teacher-student ratio was 1:16, while the ratio for teachers and paid aides to students was 1:8. Summer projects utilized volunteer aides which brought the student-staff ratio down to 1:6. Excellence in teaching, interest in working with migrant children, and being bilingual were the criteria for teacher selection. Aides were selected because they were bilingual, were interested in working with migrant children and because they were parents of migrant children.

The most effective inservice activities were: discussion by staff of individual children, improvement of individualized instruction, improvement in use of appropriate curriculum materials, improvement in techniques and methods of teaching, and use of an outside consultant.

Medical and dental services were expanded. Pental services were provided to many sites through the use of a mobile dental unit financed with Title I migrant funds.

Parental involvement increased this year for both regular and summer term projects. Staff contact with parents in the home was the most effective home/school contact.

Service improved on the migrant student record transfer system, but teachers and administrators complained that the forms had limited information on them. The coordinator noted that data stored at Little Rock may not appear on the forms unless specifically requested. Proper use of the migrant student record transfer system is important since funding depends on the number of students registered.

All regular and summer term projects had contact with at least one.

Title I representative from the SEA during FY 74 regarding the 89-750 migrant programs.

Many of the summer migrant project directors are teachers or counselors during the regular school term. Interviews of the project directors during visits to three summer sites by the Program Review and Documentation Unit indicated that these directors did an excellent job of organizing, administering and supporting their migrant programs.

The migrant coordinator and his staff plan to expand medical services, seek smaller student/staff ratios, expand programs through more grade levels, increase career education programs, seek out migrant children not currently being served, encourage program development through community

awareness of the availability of migrant funds, extend summer programs into fall, increase services in the area of early childhood education and field test curriculum in specialized reading skills.

FY 73 Recommendation Follow-Up

In the FY 73 report, one of the recommendations made was that there should be a continuation of efforts by the state office to locate eligible migrant children in Illinois. During FY 74, there were more migrant students participating in migrant programs than the previous year, and additional projects are currently being funded in new communities for FY 75.

Increased medical and dental services were provided to migrant children during FY 74, which indicates an effort to implement the recommendation made last year to provide such services.

There is still a need, however, for the state office to continue inservicing administrators and teachers in developing program objectives and evaluation tools.

FY .74 Recommendations

- 1. Further inservice training for migrant personnel is necessary in order to assist them in writing behavioral objectives which would include measurable standards for success. Three inservice sessions are already scheduled for FY 75. Teachers should be inserviced as well as project directors, since the objectives in the proposal constitute a contract between the site and the SEA. It is important that the migrant staff be prepared to meet the conditions of the contract; i.e., the proposed objectives and goals.
- 2. Project directors and teachers should fill out questionnaires not only after the state workshop held every June, but <u>also</u> at the end of the eight week projects, to determine the value of the inservice sessions that were held in Chicago. By requesting feedback at the end of the projects, the SEA will be better able to determine which inservice topics had long term value. The staff at local sites should also be requested to submit suggested inservice topics for the next state workshop.

- A feedback loop between the SEA and the clients at the local sites should be developed so that the SEA received information regarding the effectiveness of the following:
 - a. the OSPI curriculum in career education.
 - b. the OSPI curriculum in reading.
 - c. the Texas interstate teachers.
- More intensive inservice training of project directors and teachers should be done on utilization of the information stored through the migrant student record transfer system. This could be accomplished by SEA migrant staff during local sixe visitations.
 - The evaluation unit should develop a manual to accompany the self assessment questionnaires that would explain to the local sites how the questionnaires should be completed and would define the terms used.

CHAPTER 2

CASE STUDIES OF THREE MIGRANT PROJECTS

During the summer of 1974, three migrant projects were visited by members of the Program Review and Documentation Unit. Two of the sites were located in rural farming communities, and one was located in a suburban area outside Chicago.

Each visitation lasted two days, during which time the project director, recruiter, teachers, aides, older children, parents and townspeople, if appropriate, were interviewed from questionnaires developed prior to the visits. Teachers were also observed as they conducted their classes.

The interview questions were originally designed not only to learn about the project/child relationship, but to also determine the community/migrant relationship and effect of the school program on this relationship. Any effect of the school program on the community/migrant relationship was not discernable to the observers. The migrant project tended to operate in isolation from the local community. Those teachers and staff that were interested in working with migrant children formed a temporary community that shared a genuine concern for the future of the migrant children.

In Princeville, all the migrant teachers, except two, lived outside of Princeville during the academic year. The Park Forest project was based out of a day care center, and the staff taught in neighboring school districts during the year. Only Hoopeston had its regular term teachers teaching in the summer project.



Since the migrant projects had little influence on community, attitudes, the focus of the interivews shifted from perception of community attitudes to project related topics.

The information obtained at each site became less detailed as the size of the community increased. It was much easier to grasp a more detailed picture of a migrant summer school project and community feelings towards that project at a rural site than in a suburb.

The following section consists of descriptions of the migrant summer programs at Princeville, Hoppeston, and Park Forest based on the interviews that were conducted.

Site: Princeville Elementary School

Princeville, Illinois

.Congressional District: #18

Individuals Interviewed:	Project Director	1	Aides	2
• •	Recruiter	• 1	Older Children	6
	Teachers	6	Parents	4
	•		Townspeople	4

Princeville is a rural community with a population of 1,455 located in central Illinois. The Joan of Arc canning company has a plant there, and hires migrants from Texas to pick crops such as asparagus, peas, corn and pumpkins between May and October of each year Housing in camps is provided for the migrants, rent free, during their stay in Princeville. The migrant summer school project has been operating for eight years.

The summer school program was much more informal than a typical regular term program. The children were free to move about the classrooms; swimming was part of the curriculum; field trips were taken once a week. To encourage older students to attend school, parents were informed that the children could arrive at school at any time; tardiness held no consequences in summer school. The children were welcome to come to school after they had worked in the fields. Career awareness classes, where students were exposed to a variety of jobs that were realistically possible for them, were provided as incentives for the older children.

Two summer school programs operated concurrently at the Princeville Elementary school this past summer. One program was a remedial program founded through ESEA Title I 89-10 funds that served the children who lived year round in Princeville. The other program was the ESEA Title I 89-750 migrant program. Last year, the 89-10 summer school classes were combined with the migrant classes. This year, the school board voted

against the integrated summer school program, because they felt the town children should be receiving strictly remedial work rather than academics combined with field trips and swimming. The Princeville migrant school staff hope to reinstate an integrated summer program next year.

Project Director

Ms. N, the project director, had been teaching in the migrant summer school program for the past five years; Ms. N was brought up in the area around Princeville, although she taught elsewhere during the academic year. This was her first year as project director.

Ms. N and the director of all Title I programs in the district wrote the proposal for the project. Staff were selected based on their interest in teaching migrant children and their qualifications. Since eight Princeville teachers were already teaching in the 89-10 summer school program, only two summer teachers were from Princeville.

Ms. N saw the strength of the project in "staff flexibility and their great attitude toward migrant kids." She saw, for herself and her teachers, a need for long range planning. Ms. N felt that she could improve as an administrator by determining specific goals whereby her staff could best serve the migrant children in an eight week program. She would like to see the teachers develop reasonable classroom goals that could be accomplished in the time allotted, rather than skim over material in order to meet an unreasonable expectation. Ms. N would like to organize a work study program for next summer, but she did not know the best methods for implementing such a program or how to approach potential employers.

Ms. N evaluated her staff through classroom observation. She was always in the building and was easily accessible to all teaching and

non-teaching staff. She felt she needed assistance in developing different and more sophisticated evaluation tools, but Ms. N did not know who to turn to for assistance.

Recruiter

The recruiter for the Princeville program, Mr. G, was a sociology student from San Antonio, Texas. His experiences during the summer were to be incorporated in a paper for which he would get field work credit. He had been living in the camp for the past two months with the knowledge of the camp foreman. By living in the camp, Mr. G was able to get closer to the migrant parents and earn their trust.

Mr. G had varied responsibilities. He had visited every dwelling in the three camps in the area and had obtained the names, ages, and schools. last attended for all children under 16. He took care of attendance records and sent updated migrant student record transfer system forms to Springfield. Mr. G took children to clinics and translated prescriptions for the parents. He also tutored children who had little or no knowledge of English.

Mr. G felt a need for greater interaction between the migrants and the community. He suggested the organizing of a welcoming committee.

Teachers.

This was Ms. H's third summer at the project. She is not bilingual and she teaches in the northern part of the state during the school year. She is "in love with the migrant kids." She is very impressed with the closeknit family life of the migrant and described them as the hardest working people she has met.

In class, she wanted to improve the migrant child's abilities in reading, language and math. She wanted "to make them fit into the world .



the migrant parents she has worked with. She has found them to be very interested and supportive, commenting that she would rather work with these parents than her regular term Anglo parents.

Ms. D was teaching for the very first time. She found that her picture of the migrant child was idealistic. The children were less excited about learning than she expected. Her goals for the children were: 1) to improve skills they had already been taught, 2) to instill the idea that learning can be fun and challenging, but a challenge that they can meet, 3) to be aware of other job opportunities, and 4) to be happy with themselves and considerate of others. Her long term goal was to eliminate the children from the migrant stream.

Ms. B, a bilingual candidate for a Ph.D. in Spanish, was teaching at Princeville for the first time. She taught high school students in Peoria during the regular term. Although she was interviewed in February, she was hired just before the summer session since another teacher turned down the job. Consequently, she did not have an opportunity to plan her eight week curriculum. She used several methods for identifying individual strengths and weaknesses in the areas of math, reading, and writing of both Spanish and English. She usually divided the children into three ability groups. She and the aide would each work with a group while the third group worked independently. When there were no field trips, class would start with P.E., followed by one-and-a-half hour of English/Language Arts and then one-and-a-half hours of math and some science, utilizing math games as much as possible. After lunch she worked on writing and spelling in Spanish, art and music. She used no planned curriculum; she "winged it" on a day to day Basis. As a consequence, she had no idea how to evaluate the program.

Ms. B. Felt the state office could help migrant teachers by supplying "teaching English as a second language materials."

Mr. W, the Texas teacher, had spent several summers in Princeville. He has a strong desire to help migrant children get out of the migrant stream through education, although he had commented during a later conversation that "there will always be more migrants because as the present ones gain education or other skills and drop out, new migrants from Mexico will come."

He noticed that many of the children view the summer school as a vacation school. He felt the majority of the parents liked the summer school because: 1) it kept the children out of the camps, 2) it served as a day care center, 3) it was a good educational experience, and 4) it was a vacation school. He had heard directly that some parents were concerned that the children were not getting enough academics.

Mr. W felt a need for more academic tools. He suggested that a hand-book be developed locally for each grade. This handbook would include general lesson plans to help the teachers. He would like to see greater use of behavioral objectives and the development of assessment techniques to better diagnose the childrens' weaknesses and teach those skill areas.

Ms. D teaches in a neighboring community during the regular school year, and has been teaching in the Princeville summer program for the past three years. Ms. D's classroom goals were: 1) to improve the migrant child's self-concept, 2) to make the child bilingual since these children are usually poor in Spanish and English, and 3) to keep them in school. She saw the ultimate goal of the program to make the migrants see the value of education. She noted that the children are starting school earlier and staying in longer. Ms. D commented that the migrants do not perceive

their situation to be as bad as Anglos do. They see their life as hard work and hard play.

Ms. D, like the other teachers here, visited the parents in the camps approximately once a week. She noted that, in the past, parents did not send their children to summer school. Parents were now more supportive, but if money was tight work would come first.

Ms. D. felt the community was afraid of the migrants because they didn't understand them. She noted that in spring of 1973, the former project director held a meeting for the Anglo parents whose children would be in the integrated program and explained some of the cultural differences between the Anglos and the migrants. Most of the parents who attended the meeting sent their children to summer school. Ms. D found that a more positive attitude change occurred in both groups of children as a result of the integrated program. Ms. D was sorry the integrated program was discontinued.

This was also Ms. S's third summer teaching at Princeville. She loved working with migrant children and felt there was a positive attitude among the summer school teachers. Ms. S's goals were: 1) to make the children like school, 2) to make them like themselves, and 3) to provide some academics but to emphasize self-concept. Her long term goal was to see them leave the migrant stream.

Ms. S thought the attitude of the migrant and the Anglo children had improved during the integrated class sessions last summer.

Aides

Ms. T, a migrant aide, has been coming to Princeville for the past eight years and has been an aide for six. She was certified with the summer program in Illinois as well as the program in Texas. She was excited that her daughter was learning good Spanish in the Texas school

and was visiting new places and getting remedial help in the Princeville program. She also commented that the summer school program kept the children busy while the parents were working. Ms. T liked the idea of teachers visiting the homes. Although she liked the Texas and summer school teachers, she felt the regular term Princeville teachers were too strict with the Anglo and the migrant children.

Ms. L, another migrant aide, has been coming to Princeville for ten years and has been an aide for six. Her responsibilities appeared to be general: aiding the children with math and reading, helping them write their names and numbers, watching the class when the teacher left the room. She felt the program was good and that the children learned a lot. She commented that her daughter was learning more in Illinois than in Texas, although she felt that the summer term Princeville teachers responded more warmly to the migrant children than did the regular term teachers. Ms. L felt home visits were helpful in some cases, and she had no suggestions for improving the school program.

Older Children

Manuel was 13 years old and had been coming to Princeville for two years. He liked the school and his teachers. His favorite subjects were art, math and gym and he especially liked the field trips. He was satisfied with the segregated classrooms, since this arrangement allowed him to play with all his friends from the camp, although he stated that he also played with children from the town.

Donna, 14, had been coming to Princeville for "a long time." She liked school, especially the field trips and the vacation classes (sewing, cooking and shop). Donna preferred the segregated grouping since there were so many fights last year. She commented, "My parents send me to school because there is nothing important I can do in the camp."

Two other older students who were interviewed had been coming to Princeville for three years. They especially enjoyed the field trips and swimming. One child liked the integrated program last year, while the other child preferred being with the migrant children.

Five town children from the summer Title I program were interviewed. All had participated in the integrated program the previous year. Of the first two children interviewed, one was an Anglo and one was a settled out migrant. The Anglo child preferred summer school this year because the day was shorter and he felt he was learning more. He did miss the field trips. The settled out child preferred the mixed class to segregated classes, while the Anglo child didn't care. Neither had heard any preferences by their parents.

Parents

Three families were interviewed at the camps. All three families welcomed the interviewers courteously, including a ready invitation to come inside their clean and orderly, but cramped for space, cabins.

In the first cabin Mr. and Mrs. S welcomed us into their one room which they shared with their child. Mrs. S had been coming to Princeville every summer since she was born 21 years ago. She was enrolled in the school system as a child and could compare her experiences with those of her child. The addition of a summer project, via Title I 89-750, had made quite a difference. She especially liked the fact that the teachers came out to the camps to talk with parents about their child's progress. Mr. and Mrs. S were so concerned about their child's education that they were going to stay in Illinois this year so their child could begin kindergarten (in Illinois a child can start school if he is five by



December 1). They would put their child in a private school if they went back to Texas although the cost would be high.

Their child liked school very much. Mrs. S did not care for how the migrant children were grouped and segregated when they came in April. The school district claimed it did this to avoid large classrooms.*

Another parent felt the program at the school was excellent. She liked the field trips and was glad the summer program was not too, academically oriented.

A third parent, Mr: Y, was in Princeville for the first time. He was a construction worker in Texas. His older sons were working in the factory because Mr. Y felt the school was not providing enough academics. However, the boys were to attend school in the fall. The younger son, perhaps reflecting the impressions in the home, did not like summer school because he was not learning enough. Mr. Y had suggested that the boy thinks of the school as a "vacation school". The father probably utilized the school as a free day care service.

Townspeople

Since Princeville was a very rural community, it was possible to interview townspeople regarding their impressions of the migrant summer school program.

The bank executive's perception of the migrant summer program was that it was a day camp. He was opposed to the number of field trips and felt that summer school was a time for remedial work. He was in favor of the concept of a summer school program for migrants, but he felt the emphasis was in the wrong place.

* The migrant coordinator has noted that migrant children were pulled out of regular classrooms for an 89-750 funded tutorial program only.

mentioned that his daughter needed help in math last year, but that she could not get that help unless a tutor was hired.

The druggist had heard of the program at the summer school. Mr. P had no strong feelings about the school, but he stated that some townspeople were jealous because they felt the migrant children were getting extra privileges at the summer school. The druggist's son-in-law, a member of the town council, thought the money was well spent.

Mr. S had an extensive historical perspective of the migrant influence on the community over the past 27 years. He was currently an administrator at the Joan of Arc plant in Princeville, but he had worked as a recruiter for migrant help in Texas as a foreman.

Until his heart attack last winter, he had been serving on local school boards for 20 years. In this time, he had noticed a big difference in the education of migrants. The school " . . . attaches them to our society." Mr. S felt the children needed the continued structure that summer school gave them, and he noted that the "school keeps children busy if they can't work." He felt the school could benefit the migrants by enabling them to get out of the stream.

When asked about the integrated summer school program that was held last summer, Mr. S noted that it had some good social and fiscal (economically more efficient) benefits. However, due to the vociferous demands of several parents, the board voted to have separate but equal programs.

Mr. S was on the borderline but he left early and did not vote. He

It is probably better this way, because the town wasn't ready yet for an integrated program, maybe in another five years: For example, when you brought these two groups together last year the slow Anglos were mixed in with migrant students who ranged from slow to very bright. Because of this uneven mix, the migrant kid will always win.

School was viewed as competition between groups to Mr. S. He had difficulty accepting the idea that an Anglo could perform less effectively than a migrant. This feeling was shared by others, since hard feelings developed when a migrant won the sewing contest last year.

Regarding summer school, Mr. S. felt, "The field trip route may bring more kids into the school, but time would more wisely be spent on basics. This will develop better leaders who can help their people."

Site: Hoopeston Elementary School Roopeston, Illinois

Congressional District: #22

Individuals Interviewed: Project Director 1 Aides 2
Recruiter 1 Older Children 2
Teachers 4 Parents 3
Townspeople 2

Hoopeston is a rural community, somewhat larger than Princeville with a population of 6,461, located in the central east section of Illinois. The Joan of Arc canning company is located there along with Stokely-Van Camp. Both companies hire migrants from Texas to pick crops such as asparagus, beans, corn, and pumpkins between May and October of each year. Migrants and townspeople are also hired to can tomatoes in the factories. Housing at the five camps in the area is provided for the migrants, rent free, during their stay in Hoopeston. The Title I migrant program has been operating for eight years. Prior to 1966, programs for migrants were rule in the camps by local communities around Hoopeston.

Project Director .

Mr. W, the director of the summer migrant program, has lived in Hoopeston ten years, and has been involved in the migrant program for the past eight years. He was a teacher in the classroom the first three years, and has been director of the program since then; he is a high school counselor during the regular school year.

Mr. W noted that attendance by migrant children has improved over the years and felt that the children were more attentive and performed closer to grade level. He attributed these changes to: 1) stricter labor laws, 2) parental encouragement, and 3) an enjoyable school program.

A different staff works with the migrant children during the summer than during the regular term, although both staff have had minimal turn-over over the years. During fall, 1973, Mr. W required all teachers of migrant children to enroll in two graduate level courses that were taught through Eastern Illinois University. The content of the courses were: techniques for teaching the migrant child and Mexican-American culture. Although the courses were successful, they will not be repeated, since there has been no staff turnover.

Recruiter

Until this year, migrant women working as aides have acted as liaisons to the parents, listening to parental concerns that the parents would not discuss with Mr. W. The aides would convey these concerns to the school. This year, a former migrant woman was hired as a recruiter. Her job has been to act as a liaison between the camps and the school and to encourage parents to send their children to school.

Ms. R, the recruiter, was a former migrant who is now married to an Anglo and living in Hoopeston. At the beginning of the summer she visited the five camps in the area and pre-registered the children for school. She later returned to the camps to encourage the parents to send the children to school after the asparagus crops were in. Since summer school is not mandatory, part of her job was to persuade the parents to allow and encourage the children to attend school. Ms. R told the parents that the children would be safe at school, meet new people, have new experiences, get fed, swim, and would go on field trips.

The growers had been very cooperative in allowing her to visit the camps. Ms. R always informed the personnel office when she would be visiting the camp and reason for her visit. When Ms. R was not visiting the camp, she worked as an aide in the first grade classroom.



28

Teachérs

Mr. D, a fifth-sixth grade teacher has lived and worked in Hoopeston for ten years and has been teaching migrant children for three years. Many of his teaching and non-teaching friends felt that the migrants "are here. You put up with them. They go back." Mr. D felt that a greater percentage of the community was aware of the migrants and was concerned about their living situation. He felt the news media was responsible for the increased community awareness. Mr. D noted that the Catholic church was conducting a Spanish mass.

Mr. D's immediate goals for the migrant children were to: 1) interest them in education so that they would stay in school, 2) expose them to the Anglo culture so that they could function in two cultures, and 3) teach basic academics. His long term goal was to keep the children in the educational stream as long as possible.

Mr. D tried using the Michigan math program which was presented at the state workshop that was held in June, but he felt there was not enough time in the summer to do the program effectively. He taught math in small groups and introduced games that reinforced language, spelling, and math concepts. He found that the migrant student record transfer system was of no value to him in placing his students. Instead, he relied on information obtained through a testing program that was being developed in Hoopeston through migrant funds (see Appendix B of last year's annual report).

Mr. D saw the parents on parent night. He visited the camps last year, but he had not gone out this year. He felt the majority of the parents wanted their children in school.

Ms. Y, a resident of the community for the last two years, was teaching migrant children for the first time this summer. During the

regular school term, she taught second grade. Ms. Y did not feel that she has a biased attitude toward the migrants; however, she did feel that the community was against migrants, and that the majority of people in Hoopeston looked down on the migrants. During her first year at Hoopeston, she saw the community children playing with the migrant children, but she has not seen it since.

Although Ms. Y had not visited the parents of the migrant children, she did state that she would like to see how they live.

Her goals were to help the children become more familiar with the English language, reading and math.

A fourth grade teacher, Mr. J, has lived and taught in the community for eleven years and has been involved in the migrant program two years. He felt that if the migrant population did not come to Hoopeston, the economy of the town would suffer. He said he felt sorry that the migrant children moved around so much. He thought that some of his friends, non-teaching and teaching, really cared about the migrants.

He felt the community attitude was one of coexistence, with the migrants bringing in added business. Mr. J stated that maybe the community attitude towards the migrants was changing. There appeared to be more acceptance; he felt the settled out migrants were considered part of the community. Mr. J visited the migrant parents in the past, and he felt the parents were favorably impressed with the schools.

Mr. J's goals centered around math and reading skills. He had attempted to use the Michigan materials but felt that more supplementary materials were needed. His ultimate goal was to have the migrant children educated enough to function successfully in either culture.

He had noted changes In the children he had last year; they seemed to have more confidence. He felt the Texas based teacher was the best thing that could have happened to the program.

. He completed the migrant student record transfer system forms, but Mr. J is more concerned with the local testing program.

Mr. S, the Texas based teacher, was teaching in Illinois for the first time. He has taught migrant children for 13 years. He liked the program in Hoopeston and would have liked to stay there because of the attitudes of the teachers and staff. He felt that the Hoopeston summer teachers were less rigid and more accepting of migrant children than the Texas teachers in his community; the children were expected to be quiet and orderly in Texas. -Mr. S felt he was able to see the Anglo and the migrant side of problems. He noted that the community treated the migrants well, but basically it just tolerated the migrant.

Ms. S informed the interviewers that when the children returned to Texas, those that arrived in October or November would be enrolled in a special migrant school that lasted an extended day - until 5 p.m. Since these children will leave Texas in April, by attending a longer day, they would be able to complete the material for the academic year.

Aides

Ms. T, the migrant aide, has been coming to Hoopeston for ten years, and has worked at the school for two. She liked the summer program and felt the ohildren Tiked it, too. She generally assisted the teacher by teaching small groups of kindergarten children their numbers, letters and colors. She felt the children learned more in the summer school than in Texas, noting that in Texas the children learn everything from books, while in Hoopeston they learn with games.

Although the teachers here were not required to visit the parents at the camp, Ms. T thought it would be a good idea.

The aide noted that her child had learned to read in Hoopeston last summer, but when he was placed in a kindergarten program in Texas, he forgot how to read.

Ms. M has been coming to Hoopeston for eleven years, and for the last seven years, she has worked as an aide in the summer program.

Ms. M and her husband arrive in Hoopeston sometime in April and remain until early November. Since they were expecting their first child and were concerned about its future, they were considering the possibility of staying in Hoopeston.

For the past three years, Ms. M has been assisting in the field development of a culture fair test. She has been administering the Kuhlmann-Anderson intelligence test, the Illinois Test of Psycholinguistic Abilities and an SRA test, Form A. She has also, been involved in the field testing of the culture fair tests that have been developed.

According to Ms. M, the program in Hoopeston is very good, since it gives the students more time to complete their work and employs the use of educational games. She noted that the students also get dental care, physical examinations, and free lunches. She did not know enough about other school programs to make any comparisons.

Although teachers at Hoopeston were not required to visit the parents in the camps, Ms. M felt it would be beneficial for both the teachers and the children. She explained some of the underlying fear of the migrant mothers. The close family ties had made them afraid of sending the children to school; they were afraid the children would get lost.

Ms. M felt the program should remain the way it is, since it gave the children the chance to get away from the camps and go places.

Older Children

Roberto has been coming to Hoopeston since he was born. He was now 11 years old and in the fourth grade.



He said that he liked going to school at Hoopeston and he especially liked the teachers. At times, he played with some of the children in the community; he had no particular feelings or attitudes toward the town children.

He did not go shopping with his parents and had never been in the stores in Hoopeston. He was not allowed to go shopping because he would want things that his parents could not afford to buy.

The teachers had not visited his home and he was not sure that he wanted them to. He did not want to change the school. However, he did say he would like to change the way the people in the community behaved.

Nellie was in the third grade and had been coming to Hoopeston for the last three years. She liked the school in Hoopeston because to her it was fun. She said that she liked all of her teachers and had no favorite one.

Nellie did not play with the children who lived in the community.

She had five brothers and five sisters and stated that she had a lot of people to play with in the camp. She thought her parents liked the school; they encouraged her to attend every day. Nellie, unlike Roberto, did go shopping with her parents. She found it enjoyable because they were able to buy her things.

The teachers at Hoopeston had not visited Nellie's parents at the camp, but she would like for all of the teachers to visit the camp.

Parents

Three parents were interviewed at the camps. All of the parents gave favorable feedback regarding the quality of the program. All seemed satisfied with the kind of services and opportunities the children were receiving at the school.

Townspeople

The manager of the Joan of Arc plant has worked there since 1946.

He commented that in the spring and fall, the fields are monitored to insure that the children are in school; but in the summer, when school is not mandatory, he encouraged the children to attend school instead of work.

The manager had visited the classrooms, but was not very familiar with the migrant school program. His wife taught migrant children.

Migrants have frequented the local supermarket since it opened eight years ago. The manager said he would consider hiring migrants, but he has not done so. He gave the children tours of the supermarket and explained the operation of the store; he thought the children benefited from the experience.

Site: Grace Migrant Day Care Center Park Forest, Illinois

Congressional District: #1.7

Individuals Interviewed: Project Director 1 Aides 2
Recruiter 1 Older Children 0
Teachers 4 Parents 1
Townspeople 0

Park Forest is located between Chicago and Kankakee. It is a suburban community with a population of 30,864. The migrants here are scattered, working on individual farms. There are no large company owned camps as there are in Princeville and Hoopeston. As a result, bus pickups of children frequently took over an hour. The migrant children remained at the non-profit school from 9 a.m. until 5 p.m.; their ages ranged from infants in the day care center through high school students. Children received breakfast, lunch and dinner.

The visit to Park Forest was not as thorough as the visits to Princeville and Hoopeston for a number of reasons. Since the program was operating in a suburban environment, the contact between the local citizenry and the migrants was not nearly as intertwined as it was in the small towns. The local businessman here rarely saw many migrant families. Another reason for a less extensive examination of the project was timing. When the interviewers arrived at 9 a.m., the children were arriving and going to the cafeteria for breakfast. After talking with the director at length, the interviewers visited the classrooms. By noon, the children were boarding the buses to go swimming for an hour, to be followed by lunch. After lunch, students from nearby Governors State University came to the site to teach science. Although teachers and staff were interviewed extensively the first day, most of the school left for field trips on the

second day. Consequently, although the interviewers talked to the children, there were no formal interviews held. Only parents who were working as aides were interviewed since it was too inconvenient to visit a parent while s/he was working in the field or at night. In spire of these limitations, much was learned regarding how one particular director ran his project.

Project Director

Mr. F has been director of the project for the past three years and works as a high school teacher during the academic year. He was satisfied with this arrangement, since he felt that alternating between a teaching and an administrative position provided him with a better perspective than if he were a full-time administrator.

Mr. F felt the administrator's major role was that of a "hustler", of someone who was able to utilize every resource possible from the community. He spent a large percentage of his time tapping every community and state resource he could find to help the migrant children and their families. For example, he arranged for the migrant children to swim at the city pool during the lunch hour when the pool is closed to the public. He also persuaded a local church to loan its school buses to the migrant program during the lunch hour so that the children could be transported to the pool. He then rounded up volunteer drivers to drive those buses.

During our visit, Mr. F was on the phone much of the time endeavoring to get the money that had been allocated to his project, but not yet delivered. The Park Forest project was not part of a public school system. Since he was unable to use public school funds to tide him over until state money arrived, he owed money to food companies and he had taken a loan out at the bank to pay his staff. However, his loan had been used up and he was facing the prospect of not being able to pay his staff.



In terms of curriculum development, Mr. F would like to see a short form developed similar to the one he remembered seeing at the Joliet migrant project to diagnose the children's academic need areas. He also suggested that curriculum materials be available to him in January to peruse. That way he would have some lead time to suggest program materials before the project started.

Recruiter

Ms. M, the recruiter, has been working for the project as a recruiter for the past six years. A former migrant herself, she had been a volunteer teacher in the preschool program before the Title I project began. She later worked as a nurse's aide. The experience provided her with information as to where the migrant families were located. Last year she combined recruiter duties with that of parent coordinator. She acted as a liaison between the parents and the children when there were conflicts. At the time of the interview, she was attempting to arrange a parent meeting on child abuse to be conducted by a professional.

Ms. M saw each parent at least once; she also accompanied teachers when they visited the migrant parents. Ms. M would go out to a home, introduce herself to the migrant parents and describe the program. When the migrant project first began, parents were reluctant to leave their children with strangers. Gradually, as the parents became convinced the school was safe, they began sending younger and younger children. New parents in the community have been persuaded by the other migrant parents to allow their children to come to the school.

Ms. M encouraged the parents to buy books and to have their children describe what they learned in school. She encouraged parents to help in school on rainy days when they could not work in the field.

Ms. M noted that the children frequently preferred going to school in Illinois over Texas. Some parents thought the children learned more in Illinois.

Teachers

Although this was Ms. L's first year as a teacher, her husband had been a teacher at this project previously and she had done volunteer work so she was not really new to the Park Forest migrant project. She had lived in a nearby community (Midlothian) for 20 years and believed the community attitude toward the migrants was good. She often took her sixyear-old students to the park to play and she had had only good experiences with Anglo and black children and parents.

Ms. L stated that the migrant children and their parents liked the school and that the parents were very enthusiastic when the teachers went to the homes. She had made only one home visitation with Ms. M, the recruiter, but since she worked from 9 a.m. until 5 p.m., parental contact in the home was naturally limited.

Ms. L had one aide and worked with 19 children. She tried to teach the children their colors, how to count to ten, their ABC's and the Spanish alphabet. Ultimately, she would like to have them read and write in Spanish and English. She felt successful when a girl who at first knew no English began answering the roll call "here" instead of aqui".

Ms. C was from Utah and had been teaching for three years. During the regular year she was a bilingual teacher at a nearby district. She a proposed the work and had previously worked as a volunteer for two summers.

Ms. C taught four-year-old children at the project. The day care center could not afford to maintain these young children so the project attempted to educate them. Rather than babysit with these children, she

was attempting to teach the children how to get along with each other. This was difficult because of the varying levels of social maturity the children displayed in her group. Academically, she worked on colors, numbers, how to write names, and motor coordination. She believed it was important to give these children experiences which would teach them concepts in their own language, so that when they returned to Texas and started school, they would at least understand the important beginning concepts in their own language. If they knew the concepts, transfer could be facilitated.

She felt that the parents really trusted the program or they would not even let their children attend. The parents seemed concerned and interested. When she had visited a home, the parents had gathered up all their children's papers to show her.

Mr. R, a former migrant, had been a teacher's aide prior to this summer. This was his first job as a teacher, and he was interested in improving the children's ability to follow written instruction. To that end, he tried to write all instructions on the chalk board. Mr. R had no set techniques. He used the Michigan science materials, but he did not like the language development component. He had done two experiments before Governors State University students began teaching their six week science program to the migrant children. Mr. R used the Michigan math program as a guide to indicate where to start working with the children.

Mr. R's long term goal was to allow migrant children to see the nice side of life. He wanted the children to see people of Mexican descent, like himself, helping other migrants so that the children, in turn would help others.

Mr. R thought migrant children were better off now. He felt they were smarter, and their parents were more aware of services available to them.

Mr. R made up folders for each child to take back to Texas, so that the Texas teachers would know what the children had accomplished in Illinois.

Ms. B, the teacher of the seven/eight-year-olds, has lived in the area all her life. This was her first full-time teaching position; she had worked previously as a teacher's aide. She felt that if migrants could succeed in the school system and feel a sense of personal worth, they would have an impact on the people they dealt with in the community. Then, perhaps, the community especially the older people, would treat them with more respect. Ms. B wanted her children to gain new knowledge, while retaining information they had already learned.

Ms. B felt that her children needed to experience immediate success experiences. She tried to make learning fun. She expressed a need for more bilingual curriculum ideas. Ms. B complained that the state van which traveled around Illinois all summer was almost always empty of materials. She commented that if she had not taught as a bilingual aide last year, she would have had a lot of difficulty this summer.

She felt the parents wanted to know if the children were behaving well and doing well in school.

Ms. B was unfamiliar with the migrant student record transfer system; she had never seen it.

Aides

Ms. T worked as an aide with the nine/twelve-year-olds. She had lived in the area all her life, but this was her first time in a classroom. She

felt the program was good. She did individualized work with four children in language arts for Spanish and English, spelling, math and art.

Ms. T felt that visits to the parents' home were good because attitudes towards school became known, as well as the parent/child relationship.

Ms. J lived in the surrounding area for the past year. She worked with seven/eight-year-olds and had been in this particular migrant stream for the past four years. She felt her responsibilities were clear. She helped the children with their work and took them to the bathroom. She felt the children were learning to add and subtract, along with learning the alphabet in Spanish and English. Since she had two infants enrolled in the day care center, she felt it was important to learn from the teachers how the children behaved, ate and learned their assignments.

Parents

This parent, who worked at the day care center, had seven children. Three of her children were currently teacher aides, one was in school, and three were working in the field. She thought the Illinois program was better than the Texas program. Here, her children were learning to read and write in Spanish and English. She commented that bilingual education was introduced in the Texas program last year. She thought her daughter was able to read and comprehend better. Since her children attend the migrant school in Texas, they do not attend regular school in Illinois in the fall.



CHAPTER 3

IMPORTANT ISSUES CONCERNING MIGRANT EDUCATION IN ILLINOIS

As a result of the visits to three projects described in the previous chapter, several areas of concern were pursued in the form of an interview with the state coordinator of migrant programs, Larry Jazo.

Role definition was the first topic covered. The migrant staff has been expanded and there are currently three educational specialists hired to assist the migrant coordinator in implementing five specific objectives during FY 75. These objectives include advancement of career education programs, seeking out migrant children in the state that are not currently being served, encouraging program development through community awareness of the availability of migrant funds, extension of summer programs into the fall, increasing services in the area of early childhood education, and field-testing curriculum in specialized reading skills.

The migrant coordinator plans to expand medical services, seek smaller student/staff ratios, expand programs educationally, e.g., if a program currently serves children through the sixth grade, he wants to extend the program through the eighth grade, and expand the Texas teacher program. Since so many schools commented on how invaluable the Texas teachers were, one of the educational specialists has already contacted 17 potential teachers to come to Illînois from Texas next summer.

The Hoopeston project will continue to examine intelligence tests.

The developers will be expanding the study to two larger projects to provide further validation. Field studies will also be done regarding the

effectiveness of the career education program and a phonics program developed by one of the educational specialists.

Cooperation with Texas is still limited. The migrant coordinator pointed out that while Illinois serves 3,500 migrants, Texas serves approximately 85,000. Such a large population to be served creates internal complications that prevent Texas from cooperating as fully as it might with the receiving states.

The coordinator feels rapport among the state coordinators is important for interstate cooperation. Since out-of-state travel in Illinois is limited, the coordinator attempts to host most regional coordinator meetings. This way, Illinois can be represented at the meetings and be aware of what is happening in nearby states.

The Illinois coordinator visited the Wisconsin migrant programs this summer in an exchange with the Wisconsin coordinator. Although he felt the exchange took place too late in the summer to really examine the scope of the projects, the coordinator felt that the Wisconsin programs compared favorably to the Illinois programs. He noted that Illinois programs were housed in better facilities. He felt that the best facility in Wisconsin was equal to an average facility in Illinois. One important difference in the two states was the size of the SEA staff. While Illinois currently has four state staff members serving the migrant children, Wisconsin has only one, with an additional person hired for summer only. That means a total of two staff members to inservice, monitor, and evaluate local programs.

To further efforts at interstate coordination, the migrant coordinator suggested that staff from other states could be invited to share and learn from the Illinois state workshop that is held every June.

The migrant coordinator has observed a coming together of local project directors and an increased openness on their part to discuss what they are doing at their projects. The coordinator attributes this change to continued meetings over the year. He noted that four years ago there was one workshop a year with no directors meetings. This year, there are already three meetings planned. An open invitation meeting has been set for December 18, 1974 to specific agencies in Illinois such as the Department of Children and Family Services and the State Public Health Services regarding their concerns for the migrant children.

The assessment of needs of the project directors has been done informally through probes during visits by SEA staff at the sites. Responses to the self assessment questionnaires and the annual Title I evaluation report are also used to assess director needs. This year, writing performance objectives and evaluating projects will be stressed at the directors' meetings. The Title I 89-10 handbook on writing performance objectives will be examined by the directors; the importance of objectives will be covered along with discussion of the purpose and value of testing. Directors will be informed of the need to disseminate failures as well as successes. The migrant coordinator hopes that as a result, better proposals will be submitted next year.

There is still a need to be sure that the information that is conveyed to the directors will be passed on to the teachers. Since they are the ones in the classroom, it is important that the teachers think in terms of performance objectives and evaluation.

Regarding teacher selection, the migrant coordinator is concerned that the best teachers and the most dedicated teachers are hired for the job. He encourages the hiring of: 1) bilingual/bicultural teachers,

2) primary level teachers, 3) experienced migrant teachers, and 4) local teachers. He feels that experienced migrant teachers are beneficial because they have had a lot of experience in selecting appropriate materials. He feels that if teachers could learn to make best use of the mobile van in the beginning of the summer, they could plan their summers better. It was noted that a teacher cannot predict the specific needs of her students until they are in the classroom.

When asked about curriculum selection, the migrant coordinator stated that the state should provide a leadership role in helping local sites select appropriate materials, but he does not want to regulate curriculum. He noted that at one directors' meeting there will be a display of curriculum materials in the areas of reading skills, math, social science and science. There will also be evaluation materials available.

Last summer, \$3,000 worth of materials were added to the state van that traveled around to all the projects. The van was used as a mobile Instructional Materials Center, whereby teachers could sign out for materials to try in their classrooms. The migrant coordinator plans to expand the capacity of the mobile unit by adding more materials and remodeling the van to hold additional storage space.

The state inservice team, who, in the past rode around to the sites in the van, was disbanded this past summer. Since the Texas interstate teachers, who were paid by Texas, were married and had family responsibilities, they did not travel together. They did more monitoring than inservice. The submitted project visitation reports to the migrant coordinator, but the coordinator had no method for obtaining feedback from the sites regarding the value of the traveling teachers. The interviewer suggested that the sites may have outgrown the need for the inservice team, that perhaps the sites needed help on a more sophisticated

level. In confirmation of this observation, the migrant coordinator noted that the Texas teachers frequently picked up ideas from Illinois teachers rather than passed on ideas. It was suggested that the directors discuss the value of the Texas interstate teachers at a director's meeting.

The migrant coordinator felt the migrant student record transfer system had improved, but that the teachers and directors needed inservicing. The most common complaint by the sites was that the forms arrived at the sites blank. The coordinator responded that although the information was in storage at the data bank, not all the information would be recorded on the form unless specifically requested. He was concerned that the sites take the migrant student record transfer system more seriously since funding is based on the number of children entered on the forms.

The final topic covered was the allocation of money to non-profit organizations that housed migrant projects. In the case study on Park Forest, it stated that the project director was running out of money. Although the project had been approved, the money had not been delivered. In projects located in LEA schools, monies are sometimes appropriated out of the general revenue funds until federal migrant funds arrived, but a non-profit organization does not have the alternative. The migrant coordinator stated that he had no control over the situation. The coordinator indicated that a federal contact for the Illinois migrant program will be examining this issue which affects three projects in Illinois.

The Program Review and Documentation Unit would like to thank Mr. Jazo, the migrant coordinator, for his cooperation in this interview and in all phases of data collection for this report.

CHAPTER 4

MIGRANT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION.

This chapter is devoted to summarizing information collected from the FY 74 Annual Self Assessment Questionnaire for the Migrant Programs, Public Law 89-750. Tables 4.1 and 4.2 provide an overview of the projects and their activities during the past year; these tables percede the discussion of project implementation.

Princeville Area Migrant Child Development Center received migrant funds for transportation only and will not be included in the chart or in the data.

General Overview of Regular Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Activity Standard for Success Standardized Teacher Plade Observation Reference Total Success Factors Factors Factors Factors Total Success Tot	Table 4.1								
Standard for Success Standardized Teacher Made Observation Reference Success Factors Tosts Tosts Total Success Total Success Total Success Good attendance Pactors attendance Pactors and Pactors Total Success Total Success Good attendance Pactors attendance Pactors and Pactors Total Success Total Success Good attendance Pactors attendance Pactors Total Success Total Success Good attendance Pactors Total Success Total Success Good attendance Pactors Total Success Total Success Good attendance Pactors Total Success T		^	Method		etermining Succ	ess and Number T	at Succeeded		
By assisting the student with his second language, during the full school year, 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	Name of Project A Project Number Activity			ized	Teacher Made Tests	Observation	Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	
By assisting the student with his second language, during the full school very, lo - 20° will make roope thin .5 year gain or more; 30 - 35 war gain or wall war gain or wall war gain or wall war gain walke .35 war gain walke .3 -	-		v.	nccess		Total	otal		
Second language, Second lang	Bilingual Instructi		• /	٠ - حد		`		Good attendance emphasis on	Poor attendance; short length of
school year, 10 - 20° Will make rope than comprehension in comprehension for reading second language; 20 - 40° vill make c. 50° var gain; 50° vill make .3 year gain or reading readiness 40 - 50° vill make .5 year gain or more; 30 - 35° vill make .35 year gain; 15° vill make .35 year gain; 10° vill make .35 year gain; 10° vill make .35 year		second language, during the full		-			•	acceptance of	time in project;
thin .5 year gain in comprehension for comprehension for reading second language; 20 - 40% vill make .5 year gain; 50% vill make .3 year gain; 10% vill have mastered reading readiness for cading readiness for some single singl		school year, 10 - 20% will make more				•		culture	(at the power
in comprehension f. reading second language; 20 - 40% vill make .5 year gain; 50% vill make .3 year gain; 10% will have mastered reading readiness 40 - 50% will make .5 year gain or more; 30 - 35% will make .35 year gain; 15% will remain the same without losing ground		than .5 year gain			•	-		*	, ′
languace; 20 - 40% vill make .5 year gain; 50% vill make .3 year gain; 10% will have mastered reading readiness 40 - 50% vill make .5 year gain or more; 30 - 35% vill make .35 year gain; 15% vill remain the same without losing	* .	in comprehension & reading second	• 16	10	>	2. 2,			*
Rain; 50% vill make .3 year gain; 10% will have mastered reading readiness .40 - 50% will make .5 year gain or more; 30 - 35% will make .35 year gain; 15% will remain the same without losing ground		languare; 20 - 40%	•			· \			
.3 year Rain; 10% will have mastered reading readiness 40 - 50% will make .5 year gain or more; 30 - 35% will nake .35 year gain; 15% will remain the same without losing ground		vill make .5 year							•
will have mastered reading reading readiness. 40 - 50% will make .5 year gain or more; 30 - 35% will make .35 year gain; 15% will remain the same without losing ground		.3 year gain; 10%			`	•	•		•
40 - 50% will make .5 year gain or more; 30 - 35% will make .35 year gain; 15% will remain the same without losing ground		will have mastered reading readings	•	_		•			
	Reading/ Language Arts	-	, H	6.					

General Overview of Pegular Teym 89-750 Mgrant Projects (1974) \sim

Table 4.1 Cont'd	þ)		,	
			"ethods for	is for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	ccess and M	umber Th	at Succeeded		•
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher ''ade Tests	Observation	t lon	Criterion Peference ' Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors
,	`		Total cuccess	Total Success	Total	cuccess.	Total Success	S	
. Mendota Community Consolidated School District	Readinp/ Language Arts	To achieve at a rate commensurate with that of his Anglo counterpart			24 -	20		Individualized assistance	Short length of time in project
#289 W4002	Mathematics	Same as above	•		24	20		Same as above	Same as above
Čswepo Community Unit District #308 14008	Reading/ Language Arts	One month of reading instruction is equal to one month of reading success	20 . 7	21 , 21	21	21		Individual and The small group inco instruction firs based on having valifun while scorlearing to read used	The test was given incorrectly the first time so the validity of the test scores could not be used
Westmer Community Unit District #203 W4005	Reading/ Language Arts	80% mastery of specified reading skills as determined by assessment of needs at start of program					26. 16	Small group instruction; good attendance	Eight left after one week; two seemed immature
49	, 			`			*		· .

General, Overview of Regular Term 89-750 "igrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.1 Cont'd

		,	Methods fo	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	cess and Mumber T	hab Succeeded			
Name of Project . 6 Project	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher Wade	hbservation	Criterion Peference Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors	~.
,			Total Success	ss Total Success	s Total Success	Total Success		, ;	
Westmer (cont.)	Mathematics	80% mastery of specified math skills as determined by assessment of needs at start of program	•		•	26	Regular attendance; stimulus of being part of the regular classroom	Short period of attendance	•
50	Oral Language Develop- ment	Improved oral language ability as demonstrated in conversation, class contributions, and general communication	• • • •			26 16		Parental Dropping out early attitude: regular in the program attendance	t .
	Bilingual Instruction	Bilingual Therovement in Instruction reading and communication using correct Spanish as well as correct English	-	9		26 16	Feeling of familiarity toward subject; opportunity to excel, because all students, including mfgrants, were	Dropping out of program early	1
•	-			×	,	·	taurht Spanish; pride in their culture		*

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Regular Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

p
Cont
4.1
Table

	Failure Factors	Leaving after only a few days of	school f	Reluctance of a few to participate	Poor attendance	•
	Success Factors	Feeling of confidence	because they were able, to compete on an equal basis with others		Individual and small group help.	
eeded	ion nce , s	Success			٠	
hat Suec	Criterion Reference Tests	Total				* <i>,</i>
Methods for Metermining Success and Number That Succeeded	ation	Success	56	16		
ess and	Observation	Total	. 26,	. 26	56	
ing Succ	r Made s	Success		•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
etermin	01 41	Total			2 24	, ,
ods for T	dized	Success		. ,	,	
Metho	Standardized Tests	Total				
	Standard for Success'	Improvement in motor skills and sense of	Recreation enjoyment from opportunity to participate in activities as part of a group	Desire to participate in singing and rhythmical activities Any evidence of appreciation or enjoyment of music as a listener.	Correct use of English as presented in a Vocabulary Word List, correct use of regular and irregular verbs in present, past, and future tenses;	vocabulary development at student's level. Improvement of self-concept.
	Activity	Physical Education/	Recreation	Music	Oral Language Developmen	,
•	Name of Project & Project Number	Westmer (cont.)	· · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Woodstock Community Unit District #200 W4001	. ,

ERIC Apull Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Regular Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.1 Cont'	Cont'	١.	•	. ~ :	•			•	•		,
				Methods for	Determini	Ing Succe	ss and N	umber Th	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded		
Name of Project & Project	ы	ivity	Activity Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher Made Tests	r Made	Observation	<u>ئ</u> ران ئارى	Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors
-	-			Total Success	s fotal	Success	Total	Success	Total Success	, 95	
Community Unit Reading/ School District Language #300 - Dundee Arts	nit Reading/ rict Language		80% of material incrmally covered by kindergarten children	,	. 14	11	14	11 ,		Classroom help of the para- professional	Classroom help Two moved; one is of the paratrequently absent professional
Valmeyer Community Unit School District #3 W4007 CA		Oral Language Development	Children should be able to listen, understand and speak in the second language English enough to have a working conversation of useful English/ Spanish expressions as evaluated by the teacher. Children should improve	7 5 (one vith- vith- no post-	*			6 (one with-drew -		1) Children are given many opportunities to express themselves. 2) Children are praised for any and all achievements.	1) Children are coportunities. Lack opportunities to dattention in express themestres theme carlier educational express themestres to complete the companies of the complete t
,			vocabulary,							<u></u>	

46

reading, English and mach skills at least one level or



General Overview of Regular Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974),

ರ	
Cont	
4.1	
Table	

	Failure Factors		Absence	Short period of time in school	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Difficulty in forming phonetic sounds in English
	Success Factors		Good attendance	Individual tutoring by teachers and aides	Individual tutoring by teachers and aides	Fairly pood background in both Spanish and Fnølish
eeded	ton '	Success		•	,	
hat Succ	Criterion Peference Tests	Total				•
Mumber T	ation	Success		63	89	12
ss and	Observation	Total		, 11	,	15
for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	. wade	Success		, 61	8	10,
etermini	Teacher Made Tests	Total			´.vn	15
ds for D	dized	Success	20°	•		ş.
Methods	Standardized Tests	Total	. 574			
	Standard for Success		Eight months gain in mental age as measured by the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test	To bring each child up to his or her own grade level	Improvement of self expression; through enlargement of English vocabulary of each child	To improve the students speaking and writing in English and Spanish
	Activity		Oral Language Development	Reading/ Language Arts.	Oral Language Development	Bilingual Instruction
•	Name of Project & Project Number	•	Community Consolidated School District #15 - Palatine W4010	Community Unit School District Hoopeston W4006	, , , , , ,	

ERIC

Full text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Regular Term 89-750 Mgrant Projects (1974)

•
-
ш
=
-
Š
ဥ
~
~
4
a
۳.
_
ab
—
٠.,

TUDY T.4 STORT	5				•					4		
	ş€4 j	,	Methods	for De	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	Succes	s and M	unber Th	at Succe	sded	•	
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	, Standardized Tests		Teacher Made Tests		Ohservation	tion	Criterion Reference . Tests	-	Success Factors	Failure Factors
		•	Total Su	Success	Total Su	Success	Total :	Success	Total	Success		
Hoopeston (cont.) Art	, *	Ability to use art materials as, a form of individual self expression.	,	,	, ,		58	. 58	*	pM 17 E	High level of Individual motivation	
Fremont School Mundelein W4003	Reading/ Language Arts	Students worked at their own level of achievement. Strived to improve and maintain reading skills	13	φ .	13 ,	12		٠.		The state of the s	Students attended all classes repularly: student interest.	Student was not in school long enough; cultural deprivation.
54	Mathematics		10		12	. 11	. ,				Individualized Students did no instruction; eachin program long child started enough; low working at his performance levol of competency and received	Students did not stay din program long enough; low performance level
,	•	of each student and permit him to work at own pace.				<u>, </u>	•				individual assistance and instructions.	
•		•	,									

ERIC Full Bast Provided by ERIG

General Overview of Regular Ferm 89-750 Higrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.1 Cont'd	A A												•
	ت 		Methods	- 1	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	n Succes	n pun er	umher Th	at Succe	cded		,	
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standard1 Tests	, dized	Teacher "ade Tests		Ohservation	tion	Criterion Reforence Tests	ou	Success Factors	Fallure Factors	
•	•	•	Total	Success	Total S	Success	Total ,	Success	Total	Success		•	•
Premont School (cont.)	Oral Language Developmen(Student was provided with t enriching experiences to facilitate learning of English	*	•	6	∞ •	. 4.	٠, ٠,	•		Desire to learn; congenial rapport between student and teacher	Student did not stay in program. Long enough	•
Princeville Gommunity Unit #326 W4009	Reading/ Language Arts	Meeting each child's individual needs and to allow him to propress at his own rate of speed. Students will demonstrate learned skills through verbal or workhook reviews.			26-9all 28-spring 20-fall 19-sprin	20-fall 19-spring				٠.	Good attitude toward school. Individualized instruction. High interest reading materials.	Language barrier; lack of self discipline; poor attendance; lack of parental encouragement.	
j.	Mathematics)	Children will be able to work at their own grade level or as high as they can - this will very from grade to grade	•		26-fall 28-spring 20-fall 19-spri	8 20-fall 19-sprihg					Individual instruction; universality of numbers; more facile in math	Poor attendance; late enrollment; lack of interest	`\

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Regular Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.1 Cont'd	q							
,	·		Mothoda for [Mothoda for Dotatmining Succeeded	as and Number Th	at Succeeded		
•	۰,	٠,	ווכבווסאס דסו	2222				
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher Made Teats	Observation	Criterion . Reference Tests	Success Pactors	Pailure Factors
, 23 14 14			Total Success	Fotal Success	Total Success	Total Success	,	-
					•		٠	
Princeville (cont.)	Art	With the use of various art media			٠.		Natural talent and interest;	
		experiment and	1	28-Bpring	•	•	ro ranguage barrier	
,		•	•	28-spr1	8.		ſ	
•	-					•		,
				-7	·			•
5				•				
ΰ			\	•			•	
		•			•			
		•				`	`	
	•		я	•				,
				, ,				
	<u></u>			•				٠
•	' ئىد	_	_	-	.	_		

ب

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

~
•
4
U
⊣
Φ,
₩.

	, m	some- ir or Poor one oed iks:	ر الاستان الا
,	Failure Factors	Tardiness of sometimes one hour or more a day. Poor attendance - one student dropped after two weeks.	Poor attendance
	Success Factors	Individualized instruction with help of aide	Interest in material. Teacher interest in student progress.
eeded	fon nce s	Success	
nat Succ	Criterion Reference Tests	Total	
[umber T]	ıt1on	Success	19
N pur 88	Observation	Total	27
Succe	Made	Success.	
Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	Teacher Made Tests	Total	27
ds for D	dized	Success	20
Metho	, Standardized Tosts	Total 5	27
	Activity Standard for Success	1) For students to be able to communicate more freely by becoming aware of surroundings - to be evaluated by billingual interpretive aide. 2) To improve learning skills in areas of communication, reading and math, improvement to be at least 1½ months as determined by testing.	'Improved from pre- test to post-test
		Dilingual 1) For stuc Instruction be able to communicate freely by aware of surrounding be evaluat bilingual pretive aid To improve skills in communicat reading an improvement at least 11 as determit testing.	Reading/ Language Arts
74016 4.2	Name of Project & Project Number	Valmeyer Commanity Unit School District #3 . U4022	Gibson City Reading/ Unit District "I Language W4013 Arts



General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4,2 Cont'd	d				,							
	د	•	Method	ls for D	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	Succes	S and No	unber Th	nt Succe	eded	•	
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	lized	, Teacher Made Tests		Observation	ion	Criterion Reference Tests	ou ce	Success Factors	Failure Factors
			Total	Success	Total .Su	Success.	Total	Success	Total	Success		
Gibson City (cont.)	Mathematic	Mathematics Improvement of score from pre-test to post-test. Improvement in speed of math function drills. Ability to grasp new functions.	27	18	_		27	21	25	19	Use of games and activities in lessons	Poor attendance
8	Oral Lánguage through Science	Improvement in oral language abilities as seen in teacher made oral drill and exams.	•	` .	21	16					Interesting science materials used to develop oral language	Poor attendance.
Hoopeston Community Unit School District F4020	Reading/ Language Arts	None stated		vo	82 . /	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					Variety of activities and approaches	Poor attendance; lack of interest

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

τ	,
٦,	,
7	١
ć	֡
ç	
	•
_	Ľ
4	
(į

Table 4.2 Cont'd	p											
	,		Metho	ds for D	eterminin	Succes	ss and N	umber Th	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	led		٠
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	dızed	Teacher Made Tests	Made	()	tion	Criterion Referençe Test <u>s</u>		Success Factors	Failure Factors
		•	Total	Success	Total S	Success	Total	Success	Total Su	Success		
Hoopeston (cont.)	Mathematics	Mathematics To be able to work at or hear grade level	25	15	103	69	95	78		·	Using a variety of instructional methods	Using a variety Poor attendance: of instructional lack of interest methods
59	Oral Language Development	To understand directions in English; to name colors, numbers, animals, etc. in English					10	&	,		· -	Difficulty communicating in English
•	Bilingual Instruction	Expect them to verbalize their knowledge of the words and phrases they already know				12	•		•	,	Ufilingness to participate and verbalize during the language drills	Refused to participate in oral language drills due to ahyness and fear of making mistakes
	Natural Science	To become aware of the outdoors and the environment			17	10		52			3 field trips to "Middlefork Conservation. District	3 field trips to Lack of attendance Middlefork Conservation.



, General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

13076	rabie 4.2 Contra	D		,		,								1
	3	1	3	Metho	ds for D	etermini	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	N pue sea	lumber Th	at Succe	eded		,	
Name of Project & Project Number	Name of Project & Project Number	Åçtívíty	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	dized	Teacher Made Teats	r Made	Observation	ıtlon	Culterion Reference Tests	lon ice	Success Factors	Failure Factors	
-		•	•	Total	Success	Total	Success	Total	Success	Total	Success			,
. Hoopeston (cont.)	Ę	Physical Education/ Recreation	Physical Ability to partici- Education/ pate in individual Recreation and team sports	,		15	15	, 120	103	.	ŕ	Willingness to cooperate and work together		•
. (•	Social	To become more aware of the two cultures in which they live			57	48	63	50			Students own high interest level		
30		Music	To enjoy learning songs in Fnglish and musical games and dances				,	10	. 10			Enloyed learning action conps and vanted to aing and and dance	•	
		Art .	To learn to use various art materials and to exoress themselves in both individual and proup art projects		-	, 15	15	108	108			Self motivation - almost all students live to express them- selves	5	\forall \nearrow
		•				_					-	•		٠.

ERIC*

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

	-	actors	· ·	desire to	Immaturity; low reading ability and language problem; poor attendance
		Failure Factors		Lack of de cooperate; attendance	Immaturity reading above and langual problem; pattendance
•	-	Success Factors	,	Certificates for Lack of desire to reading; getting cooperate; podr to choose own attendance books to read	Positive rein- forcement of reading abil correct responses; and language competitive problem; poor pames; token attendance
	eeded	fon nce :8	Total Success		
	hat Succ	Criterion Reference Tests		•	
	Number T	ation	Total Kuccess	109	,103
	ess and	Observation		116	116
	Ing Succ	Teacher Made Tests	Success	61	72
	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	Teacher	Total	. 89	83
		rdized	Success		,
	Metho	, Standard Tests	Total		
	39	Name of Project Activity Standard for Success Standardized Tests		Specific goals stated for each age group	dathematics Specific poals stated for each age group
p		Activity		Reading/ Language Arts	'(athematics')
Table 4.2 Cont'd	,	Name of Project & Project Number	-	Princeville W4023	61

learn more about fusion, especially their primary of vowel sounds language - between the two Spanish languages Problems with conforcement for wanting to oral responses; participate in wanting to share oral activities orally Shyness; not wanting to Positive reingames; token reward system Motivated to 67 *- :. e 53) 9/ 22 22 , 79 Specific goals stated for each age Bilingual Specific goals Instruction stated for each group Oral Language

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

,		Failure Factors		Setting and Prejudices from teacher provided parents reinforced atmosphere for in child honest espression of feelings	
	•	Success Factors		Setting and teacher provided atmosphere for honest espression of feelings	Students visited different places and talked to employees about their work
,	hat Succeeded	Criterion Reference Tests	Total Success	.,	, •
	ss and Number T	Observation	Total Success	96 81	15 15
7	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	Teacher Made	Cotal Success	<i>\frac{1}{1}</i>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Methods for D	Standardized Tests	Total Success	*	
		Standard for Success	•	Learn to appreclate variations of culture through music, movies, art, and small proub discussions concerning attitudes toward the Anglo vs. Chicano differences in culture	Awareness of many choices of occupations: learn what particular skills and how much education is required for specific jobs
		Activity		Improve Cultural Pride/ . Self Iden-	Career Awareness
Table 4.2 Contd	-	Name of Project & Project Number	-	Princeville (cont.)	

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Mgrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd	' d						•		,	
	,	,	Methods for I	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	ss and Numbe	r That St	pepeeoi			
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized. Tests	Teacher Made Tests	Observation		Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors.	•
	•		Total Success	Total Success	Total Success	ess Total	1 Success			
Princeville (cont.)	Natural Science	Being attentive to the process of the experiment and in turn doing it them- selves; being able to vary the experimental conditions and evaluating what had been done and why.	. ,		15 15	_	, 1	Enjowment of actually petting to do "hands on" experiments	• , .	,
3 ,	Physical Spect Education/state Recreation proup	Specific goals stated for each age group			116 104			Teacher and peer Not enough interest in individualized success: rewards instruction in swimming as we games or physical fear of water fitness contest	Not enough individualized individualized instruction in swimming as well as fear of water	Ī
,	Music	Children will participate in ; singing, dancing and other rhythmical activities; listen to different kinds of music; appreciate different kinds of music			101 98		-	Teacher made activities fun and made each child fee! important	Lack of interest due to shyness	1



General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

>*

Table 4.2 Cont'd	ړ و							
, ste			Methods for D	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	ess and Mumber T	hat Succeeded	•	
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Stańdardized Tests	Teacher Made Tests	Observation	Criterion Reference . Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors
			Total Success	Total Success	Total Success	Total Success		
Princeville (cont.)	Art	To follow directions in order to complete activity; to create and use imagination and enjoy working on activity; to create unique project; to cooperate and share materials			116 116		Children worked at own rate; created many different things.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
64	Home Economics	Specific objectives for sewing mastery stated	4		, 4	-	Motivation due to self selection of pattern and and ownership of completed	Poor attendance
	Industrial	Industrial Students will learn Arts to handle tools properly and participate in a woodworking project		,	31 29		Interest in Thwilling particloating in cooperate something that tools is "new"; being able to keep completed	Unvilling to cooperate in us tools

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd					-		
		Methods for D	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	ess and Number T	hat Succeeded		•
Activity	Standard for Success Tests	Standardized Tests	Teacher Made Tests	Observation	Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors
	,	Total Success	Total Success	Total Success	Total Success	٠	
Bilingual Instruction	Improvement in ability to read, write, and speak English; improve-			20 18		Student's desire to learn	Student's Avoided schoolwork; desire to learn not enough individual attention biven .
	ment in mathe- matics	_					•
Reading/ Language Arts	Awareness of other communities, life		,	22 19		Curiosity. Use of Chicago Sun Times daily for	
	styles, daily occurrences and available services					45 minutes sustained stude interest .	45 minutes
Keading/ Language Arts	None stated	,		32 28	_ `	Regular atten- dance; positive attitude; flexible teach- ing atmosphere	Poor attendance; poor attitude
	,	•					····



General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

	pepee	Hon	ence	Succes	_
	at Suco	Criterion	Reference Tests	Total	
	Number Th		ation	Success	
•	ss and		Observation	Total	
	ing Succe		Teacher Made Teats	Success	
	etermin		Teacher Tests	Total	
	"ethods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded		rdized	Total Success Total Success Total Success Total Success	
	''eth		Standar Tests	Total	
•			Activity Standard for Success Standardized Tests	•	
q			Activity	•	Ŷ
Table 4.2 Cont'd	`	Name of Project	& Project Number		

		`	
Failure Factors .	Poor attendance; poor attitude	Poor attendance: poor attitude	Immaturity of students
Success Factors	Good attendance; high interest; compatible peer group	Regular attendance; positive attitude; flexible teach- ing atmosphere; good listening habits	Good student- teacher relation- ship: interesting materials for individualized and small group work
ton nce s Success	~		
Criterion Reference Tests Total Su			
ation Success	21	21	•
Observation Total Succ	. 22		***
Made Success		·	
Teacher Made Tests Total Succe	ſ		, ,
rdized s Success	<i>Y</i>		2.5
Standardized Tests Total Succe	· ·		55
Standard for Success	Encourage working up to potential; encourage individual interests	None stated	Each child is to enjoy the reading experience while making progress according to his ability
Activity	Music	Preschool	Reading/ Language Arts¥
Name of Project & Project Number	Marengo (cont.) Music	6.6	Holy Cross Mendota Migrant Langua School - Mendota Artsw

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd	P			•					`	1
		•	Methods for I	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	cess and N	umber Th	at Succeeded	•		
Name of Project & Project Number		Activity Standard for Success	Standardized •	Teácher Made Tests	Observation	tion	Criterion Peference Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors	,
•	,	,	Total Success	Total Success	Total	Success	Total Success	•		ì
Holy Cross (cont.)	Art	Visible enjoyment of brt; creativity according to ability		• .	55	55	۸	Many interesting projects using a large variety of media. Priority was given to		,
6 m	`	•		,		•		projects that would foster cultural pride. Children were enthuslastic.	,	1
	Bilinguâl Instruction (Each child was, a expected to show daily improvement according to his ability	`	17 17	,	*	-	Dynamic, well qualified teacher who was loved by her students		. 1
	Preschool Education	Each child is expected to participate in those activities which are designed to help him in the areas of communi-			20	20		The children wer intelligent and eager to learn; well rounded program; good teacher		
		cation, readiness, physical education, art, music and nutrition	, 	61 ,		- · /	-	· _′ —		

. General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

'n	_	Failure Factors		One child had a	low I.O.; another	was somewhat		,		•			4		Not sure	•	-		,	`
	1 	Success Factors	,	Desire of	students to	learn; warm	relationship;	realistic	teacher goals; adequate teacher	preparation;	Individualized	instruction and	excellent	materials	Small classes;	a lot of	individual			
,	hat Succeeded	Criterion Reference Tests	Total Success							•									,	•
	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	Observation	· Total Success		•			•				``		•			_			_
	termining Succe	Teacher Made Tests	Total Success Total				55 52*		•					,		•	CI 02	•		
ì	Methods for Do	Standardized Tests	Total Success				55 50						-		•	بيۇ چۇ	2		•	
	ı	Standard for Success	, •	Mathematics Having ascertained	a child's profi-	ciency in math by pre-tests, each	child is expected	to show daily	progress, according to his ability.	Post-tests deter-	mine degree of	saccess			We honed to help	the children read	at a nigher level	capable of when	they entered the	
. P,		Activity		Mathematics		•				~					Reading/	Language	Arts	•	•	
Table 4.2 Cont'd		Name of Project & Project Number)	· Holy Cross	(cont.)	*	•		6	38					Anna-	Jonesboro	Community High School	Anna	W4017	,
				,					`				•							

ERIC Foundation ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Higrant Projects (1974)

	-	Success Factors Failure Factors		Small proups Lack of interest allowed a lot of individual instruction	Field trips and self-expression . by the students helped them to achieve this objective	Interest in recreation and recreation and receptiveness to the games and activities that were presented
•	hat Succeeded	Criterich Reference Tests	Total Success;	,		,
•	18 and Number T	Observation	Total Success		76 26	26 26
	lods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	Teacher Made	Total Success	25 21		
`	· Methods for Do	Standardized Tegţs	Total Success	•	,	
		Activaty, Standard for Success		Mathematics We boped to help the children perform at a hipher level in math	We honed to make the students more aware of their potential as a productive member of society	We hoped to acquaint the students with pames which would have carry-over value into their adult lives and early adult lives
p,	•	<u> </u>		Mathematics	Improve Gultural . Pride(Self, Identifit cation .	Physical Education/ Recreation
Table 4.2 Cont'		Name of Project & Project Number	•	Anna- Jonesboro (cont.)	69	



. General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Mgrant Projects (1974)

	- -		Methods for Determining Success and Mumber That Succeeded	Determining	Succes	n pur s	mber The	t Succe	eded		(
Name of Project & Project Number,	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher Made Tosts		Observation		Criterion Reference Tests		Success Factors	Failure Factors
		•	Total Success	Total	Success	Total	Success	Total	Success		
Anna- Jonesboro - (cont.)	Are	To acquaint the students with some painting, crafts, models, pot holders, and other small arts and crafts that might continue to be of interest after the conclusion of the program			. , *	56		•		The activities were such that the students enjoyed them, and were very receptive to the program	
Joliet Public * Schools - * District #86 W4018	Speech	The level of a migrant child's speech development demonstrated at the program marked along a scale (low-hiph potential) and then estimated upon the completion of the program		. 70	, ,					An acceptable Spanishlish" or cultural teacher "Tex-Mex" or an speech model who unvillingness treinforced speak English or learning spank English or learning spank English or learning implementing lack of opportution activity ity to "talk" a with language home: older with language children domina recorders.	An acceptable A combination of Spilingual-bi- "Spanishlish" or cultural teacher "Tex-Mex" or an speech model who unwillingness to reinforced spansk English or learning Spanish loud enough activities by nonstandard speech; implementing lack of opportunths activity ity to "talk" at with language home; older masters, tape children dominating recorders.
		*/.					_		,	records and listening games	

ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Ð
'nť
S
~
4.
le
Tab
۲

Table 4.2 Cont. d	בים	,		•					` .				`
,	•		Methods	for De	terminin	8 Succes	BB and M	umber Th	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded				
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Succeps	Standardized Tests		Teacher Made Teats	Made	Observation	tion	Criterion Reference Tests	Success	Success Factors	Fallure Factors	
	•	« š	Total Success		Total S	Success	Total	Success	Total Success	- 889			
Joliet Public. Schools (cont.)	Reading/ Language Arts	The standard of success would be one week improvement for each week of instruction	. 02			,		•			Daily motivating experiences with a follow-up to provide continuity in the nucts and skills.	Poor language back- ground; lack of both cultural experiences and knowledge.	۲ ۱
1	Mathematic	Mathematics The standard of success would be one week improvement for each week of instruction		56			,	•		Tutorial assistance designed to coordinate efforts in the reinforcement o	Tutorial assistance designed to coordinate efforts in the reinforcement of skills	Inability to maintain mathematical skills from level to another	<u> </u> .
	Oral Language Develop- ment	Level I (ages 3-8), Level II (ages 9- 14), and Level III (ages 13-16) migrant students will demon- strate an improve- ment in oral language development by obtaining a score	- - -		. 02	65				Viable learning materials and accompanying paraphernalia	carning ls and lying malia	Poor vocabulary; short attention span	
,	_	(1-9) higher than the score received at the beginning of the program	- ,	-	- 59	_	•	-	-		-		

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Con	Cont'd				•			
		•	Methods, for D	etermining Succ	Methods, for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	hat Succeeded	~	
Name of Project 6 Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standard1zed Tests	Tencher Made Tests	Observation	Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors
			Total Success	Total Success	Total Success	Total Success		
Joliet Public Schools (cont.)	Bilingúal Instruction	Level I (ages 3-8), Level II (ages 9- 14), and Level III (ages 13-16) migrant students will demonstrate an improvement in bi- lingual instruction by obtaining a higher score on an informal checklist than the score received at the beginning of the	-t.				Inferesting activities that promoted bi- lingual instruction	Lack of conceptual frame of reference for vocabulary development in addition to dialect problems
	Improve Cultural Pride/Self Identifi- cation	Migrant children will demonstrate an awareness of impro- yed cultural pride/ self identification (Mexican music, life, foods-recog- nize his own impor- tance and uniqueness as an individual) by		5 5			The professional and nonprofession al staff members as well as parents provided experiences and opportunities for migrant thildren to enhance an improved	÷
		teacher observation	·	V		•	cultural pride/ self identifi- ention	•

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd	p							٠				
			Methods	for De	termini	ng Succe	BB and 1	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	at Succe	pepec		
Name of Project & Project		Activity Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	zed	Teacher Made Teata	Made	Observation	ation	Criterion Reference Tests		Success Factors	, Fallure Factors
			Total Su	Success	Total	Success	Total	Success	Total	Success		
Joliet Public Schools (cont.)	Physical Education/ Recreation	Physical Migrant students will Rducation/ demonstrate an Recreation ability to be taught		•		-	,				A balanced physical educa- tion/recreation program	Lack of coordina- tion; clumsy
		the basic motor skills by profession- al staff members and evaluated	,		70	29					ί.	
73		through the means of a scale (low-high potential) given as a pre-post test										
	Art	To estimate a migrant child's entering art skills	-								Activities pro-	Some children had saproblems such as
	•	(low-nigh) on a scale by marking an "X" and upon completion of program, mark night of program, mark night of program,	•	,	70	89					children to achieves success as well as to take their art.	hands in art activi- ties, eye move- ments, and remem- bering a preceding
		child's demonstra- tion of art skills leaving the program		,		•		-			masterplaces home	skill in art
_			. \	-	-	-				_	•	

67

ERIC *

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4,2 Cont'd

,	Failure Factors	,	*	
,	Success Factors		Songs, poems and games that are a part of the Chicano cultural tradition; Spanish language development lessons	A curriculum based on sensory perception, tactile and kinesthetic awareness
eeded	rion ence :	Success		
hat Succ	Criterion Reference Tests	Total		*
Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	ation	Success		95
pus ssa	Observation	Total	56	95
Ing' Succ	r Made	Success	56,	
etermin	Teacher Made Tests	Total	99	
de for I	dized	Success	26	
Metho	Standardized Tests	Total	56	_
	Standard for Success		It is hoped that a bilingual bicultural curriculum will serve to develop the children's cultural pride and their ability to understand and speak Spanish	It is expected that the child will receive skilled components of oral communication. When a child is successful, he will demonstrate an increased ability to communicate, identify and comprehend.
	Activity		Bilingual Instruction	Oral Lahguage Develon- ment
	Name of Project & Project Number		Migrant Day Care Bilingual Center of Aurora Instruction W4024	74

ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

~
ىد
_
5
Con
_
~
4.
٥
_
Δ
Lab
_

Table 4.2 Cont d				,					
			Methods for F	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	cess and Nun	nher That	Succeeded	-	*
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher Made Tests	Observation		Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	Pailure Factors
,	,		Total Success	Total Success	Total	Success	Total Success		
Aurora (cont.)	Improve Cultural Pride/Self Identifi- cation	It is hoped that the positive self-image of each and every child will be enhanced through a positive, loving relationship between the child and his/	,		99	29		A totally dedicated and loving staff of teachers, aides and volunteers	
75	Natural Science	It is expected that the children will achieve an increased agareness of their world, question freely, explore, begin learning spatial relationships, and conquer unwarranted fears			99	95	•	Daily incorporation of science into the curriculum; an' abundant supply of learning materials	
	<i>p10</i> 2	· · ·	- -	-	- -	-			A

ERIC*

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd	,									
			Methods for D	etermini	ng Succes	ss and Nu	mber Tha	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded		-
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher Made Teats	Made	Observation	. lon	Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors
			Total Success	Total	Success	Total S	Success	Total Success	m	
Aurora (cont.)	Physical Education/ Recreation	Physical The goal of our re- Education/ creation program is Recreation improved small and large muscle coordination				. 29	ν. Vario		Many opportunities for large muscle activities	
76	Music	It is hoped that the children develop an appreciation for music, an awareness of sounds, auditory acuity, and discrimination in their choice of music		56	9 .	26	. 99		An abundant supply of Fnglish and Spanish records and song books, plus several sets of rhythm instruments	
	Art	It is expected that the child will be able to express himself through the use of the various art media				999	5, 6,		It was a well planned, free choice activity with emphasis on process rather than product	

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Cheeral Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd	*						/ \		
	•	,	"ethods for	"ethods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	ss and Num	ber That	ncceeded		
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher Made Tests	Observation		Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	ዓ Failure Factors
			Total Success	Total Success	Total Su	Succiss Total	al Success		,
Aurora (cont.)	Field	Following a field trip, it is expected that the children will be stimulated to ask questions and will have gained greater interest in the subject				, 95		Thorough preparation by the teachers	
Grace Migrant Any Care Center Park Forest W4025	Art	The child should be able to hold scissors correctly and be able to cut on a prescribed line with little variance; the child should be able to color inside the lines. Students will participate in special crafts and art activities to enhance their creativity, and they will achieve a sense of pride from these activities			45	45		The ability to accomplish a task and be creative	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Mgrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd	.	/	•								
	•	٠	Wethods for D	etermini	ng Succe	ss and Nu	mber The	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	ed		٠
Name of Project & Project.Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher Made Tests	Made	Observation	lon	Criterion Reference Tests		Success Factors	Failure Factors
		,	Total Success	Total	Success	Total '9	Success	Total Su	Success		
Park Forest (cont.)	Mathematics	s Specific skills were designated by grade level		103	77	99	,	•	, , , , w , µ ,	Readiness for learning; prior exposure to materials;	Irregular attendance late registration; deficient in basic math concepts
					`	,	ı	`.	<u></u>	individualized instruction; good attendance; positive rein- forcement	•
78	Physical Education/ Recreation	Physical A variety of goals Education/ were stated for Recreation swimming and basic movement skills				105	, 18	. 4.		Team partici- pation; attitude; low teacher- pupil ratio;	
	,				``	1			<u> </u>	social maturity	social immaturity
, ,						,				, ~	
		- -		,	_	_	_		_		_ 、

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Mggrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd										
		!	Methods for	Determini	ng Succe	ss and Nu	mber Th	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded		•
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher Made Tests	Made	Observation	lon	Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	Fallure Factors
		•	Total Success	Total	Success	Total	Success	Total Success	S	
Park Forest (cont.)	Bilingual Instruction	The students will nuse both languages in all activities to improve and to feel successful about school. Both languages will be used as medians of instruction by the teacher and special language activities (reading writing/math) to improve language ability.	•	56	17	56	. 20	•	The ability to use both languages freely in classroom activities and to gain pride in their heritage.	
	Reading/ Language Arts	A variety of activities were listed that would attempt to expand language fluence in both languages and to improve reading and comprehension skills		ю 80	¥ 63	. 85	89		Individual help; positive rein- forcement; positive student attitudes: low teacher-student ratio; varied techniques; motivation	Individual help; Lack of reading conpositive reincement; poor self-concept; positive student non-readiness for teacher-student lals presented; poor ratio; varied attendance; lack of techniques; motivation
				•						,

73



General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

able 4.2 Cont'd

General Overview of Summer Term $89-750~{\rm Migrant~Projects}$ (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont d									-			
			Methods	s for D	etermini	ng Succe	ls for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	umber Th	at Succee	ded		•
Name of Project. & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized . Tests	ized	Teacher Made Tests	Made	Observation	ion i	Criterion Reference Tests	n ə	Success Factors	Failure Factors
4			Total Su	Success	Ţota1	Success	Total	Success	Total S	Success		*
Oswego Community Unit District #308 W4021	Career Avareness	Expand the student's knowledge of job opportunities and his understanding of the needed skills used in these vocations.	/ *			• ,	29	23	·		Hiph interest rate of voca- tional field , trips	Lack of regular attendance and interest in job opportunities available
81	Reading Language Arts	One month of instruction improves reading ability one month	170	145	170	141	, 170 ·	156		3	Individual work and attention; ungraded reading series; correct identification of levels: ability grouping	Lack of attendance: poor attitude (older children)
· . [Mathematic	Mathematics One month of instruction improves math ability one month	170	142	170	154	170	154-		/	Individualized instruction; small classes; individual attention;	Lack of attendance; low interest; poor attitude
ر معدي	·	3,		···		`,	· ·		· ·		abilities,	

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 'figrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd

	Fallure Factors		Lack of regular attendance; lack of basic skills: small arrention span	
	Success Factors		Regular attendance; thank you letters; field trips; newspaper: individualistruction and attention	Field trips; high interest in foreign songs and films; plays pictures and tapes of cultura, interest; high interest in their back- ground; cultural dances
Succeeded	Criterion Peference Tests	rotal Success		•
Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded		Success	. 165	170
ess and	Observation	Total	170	170
oong gul	r vade	Success	163	
Jetermin	Teacher Wade	Total	170	*
ds for	dized	Success	142	
Metho	Standardized Tests	Total	, 071	
	Standard for Success		One month of instruction will improve language arts ability by one month	Bilingual Expand the child's Instruction cultural background of his own native country
	Activity		Oral Language Development	Bilingual Instruction
	Name of Project & Project Number		Oswego (cont.)	82

ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

or Made Observation Total Success T	Teacher Made Observation Total Success Total	ning Success and Sumber Tha er Made Observation ts Success Total Success	Tot Tot	Standard for Success Sta	t Succeeded	Reference Success Factors Failure Factors Tests	Total Success	Indiadana I and of attendance.	, T	70	Pu .	بر و د و	t d	g te	t a t	and rite.	and f f f rrite rrite nn- cst ways	and fift fips fit fips find ways	and if if if if if if if if if i	and fr
The Success and Success and Info Info Info Info Info Info Info Info	Teacher Made Obsertors and Total Success Total 170 165 170	Standardized Teacher Made Observats Teats Tests Total Success Teta 170 165 170	Tot Tot	Activity Standard for Success Sta Timprove Improve the child's Trot Cultural ability in under- Price/Self, standing and writing Ideptify the Inplish language cation Reading to learn, review and Language regain a reading Arts vocabulaty geared to each child's ability To maintain their present level of reading ability and reading ability and reading ability and reading concress at their own level of	d Mumber That		Success	160	fe -						, , ,	<u> </u>	 •	•		_
ring Success	Teacher Made Tests Total Success 170 165	Standardized Teacher Made Tests Total Success Total Success Total Success Total Success Total Success Total Success Total Success Total Success Total Su	Tot Tot	Activity Standard for Success Sta Improve Improve the child's Tot Cultural ability in under- Pride/Self, standing and writing Idoptify the inplish language cation Reading/ the inplish language Arts vocabulary geared to each child's ability. To mbintain their present level of reading ability and regress; to be fable to progress at their wall their present level of reading ability and regress; to be fable to progress at their walls won level of their walls wall their present level of reading ability and regress; to be fable to progress at their wan level of	puv ssa	Obser			<u> </u>	•				•	116	011				_
	Teacher Total Total 170	Standardized Teach Tests Total	Tot Tot	Activity Standard for Success Sta Improve Improve the child's Tot Cultural ability in under- Pride/Self, standing and writing Idoptify the inplish language cation Reading/ the inplish language Arts vocabulary geared to each child's ability. To mbintain their present level of reading ability and regress; to be fable to progress at their wall their present level of reading ability and regress; to be fable to progress at their walls won level of their walls wall their present level of reading ability and regress; to be fable to progress at their wan level of	olng Succ	er Made ts	Success	165	6 1			•								_



General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd		•						The second secon	1
		•	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	octermining S	uccess and	1 Number Th	at Succeeded	*	
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standgrd for Success	standard (zed Tegts	Teacher Made	<u> </u>	Obacrvation	Criterion Peference Tests	Success Factors	Faflure Factors
			Total Success	Тота! чиссеяя	eas Total	1 Success	Total Success	P899	
tochelle (cont.)	Mathemat ic	Mathematics To learn to work with the first ten numerals in count- ing and number sets, and to com- plete as much as possible in the Houghton Mifflin math workbook. To reinforce and review concepts learned the previous				. 131		A Phowledge of the inglish language; required and interest; perservence verence	Limited Fuelish vocabulary; learning distabilities; poor retention; poor attendance; previous school failures
	Oral Languan Pent pr-	To shor Improvement in responding appropriately in The Ish using correct sentence structure and to incredute listening stills. To learn basic Taglish vocabulary and use it foury day		, ,	<u> </u>	123	,	Some Incolledee of the English language was a tremendous asset; willingness to try, personality; recular attendance	noor command of Intlight shyness, studdeness, inability or unvillingness to retain and use laneuape; atten-

ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

☜
•
'n
_
5
Con
~
4
ø
~
4
8
Ë

	Failure Factors	•	a E)
	Success Factors.		Villinguess and caperness of the children to learn the Emplish language. (Five fid not know Firellence of Spanish.)	pectal instruction of persons of Spanish heredity; close porking and playing relationship between teachers and children; use of Spanish in the classroom.
) इस्केटिक	Criterion / Reference Tests	Success		
hat Su	Criteria Referen	Total		
Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	Observation	Success	, 85 8	112,
puv sa	Obser	Total	, 85	112
ing Succe	r Made	Success		
termin	Teacher Made Tests	Tota1		•
de for De	dized	Success	. (
Metho	Standardized Tests	Total		,
, I	Standard for Success		To develor an understanding of spoken and written inglish that the children way function in an Figlish speaking environment; to begin at a child's level of understanding of English and progress at his (her) ability.	To have confidence in himself and to feel relaxed enough to tell about his home, family, travels and experiences
3.	Activity		Bilinpund Instruction	. Impurve Cultural Pride/Self Identifi- cation
	Name of Project & Project Number		Rochelle (cont.)	

1.

General Overview of Summer Term 39-750 Higrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd	q					•					
		,	Methods	for Det	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	ccess and	Number Th	at Succeeded	- T		,
Name of Project & Project	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests		Teacher Made Teats		Observation	Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors		Fallure Factors
			Total Suc	Success	Total Success	88 Total	Success	Total Success	PAS		
Rochelle (cont.)	Career Awareness	To be aware of careers outside of migrant field work				£ 82	8 8 ,`		Willingness of community resource people to aid in propram; interest by children in an prea new to them	sss of re- cople n pro- terest ren in	
8ម៉ <i>្</i> ុ	Natural Science	To begin observing and commenting on his observations				104	102		Thev liked the demonstrations and stories: eagerness to experiment	ed the ations fes: s to	Not stated
	Physical Education/ Recreation	Physical Education/ ment in all of the Recreation pross motor skills, object and ball handling skills, and movement coordina- tion through various games, activities, movement education, and gymnastics. To learn to follow		,		135	120		Daily lessons provided sufficient opnortunity to improve in specified areas. They were given individual as well as group instruction.	Dally lessons provided sufficient opportunity to improve in specified areas. They were given individual as well as group instruction.	Poor attendance; inability to follow directions
		directions.				4			-		-8° -8°

88

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont.d							٠		
•	•		Methods for D	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	ess and Number 1	That Succeeded	-		
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher Made Tests	Observation	Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors	
			Total Success	Total Success	Total Success	Total Success	,		,
Rochelle (cont.)	Social Science	To learn more about the services in the community that help, us		-,		•	Good attendance: Poor attendance instruction sometimes done in Spanish; field trips	Poor attendance	
87	Music	To learn new sonpsin for first shand to participate with the class in singing out, doing appropriate motions, and taking part in activities using musical instruments and rhythm		÷	103	,	Enthusiasm and response of children to the teacher's encouragement and examples	Shvness: poor knowledge of English	
,			,		_		,	*	
٠,				•			•		
. ·	,		,						3
-	•	, ,		-					٠



General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Mgrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.8 Cont'd		,					·	
	7-	,	Methods for n	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	as and Number	That Succeeded	\ \ \$	•
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Scandard for Success	Štandardized Trsts	Teacher Made Tosts	Observation	Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	Pailure Factors
	,		Total Success Total	· Total Success	Total Success	s Total Success		
Rochelle (cont.)	Art.	To expose students to'a variety of hedia; to learn hasic techniques and concepts; expose older students to more fine arts than crafts			135 135		Artistic ability projects were directed so that everyone would be successful	
88		To learn to follow directions to successfully complete a tangible and self pleasing project		· ·	31 31	ı	Interest and enthusiasm in each profect	•
	Health, Baths, Dental	To learn about cleanliness and its importance to make cleanliness a habit		· ·	145 145		All facilities for health program were available at the school	

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd	p							-			
	•		Methods	for Det	erminir	Succes	ss and N	mber Th	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded		1
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests		Teacher Made Tests	Made	Observation	tion	Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	Fallure Factors
		•	Total Suc	Success	Total S	Success	Total S	Success Total	Total Success		
Federated Church Reading/of Sycamore Language W4027 Arts	Readinp/ Language Arts	Nevelop a need to read in the student; reading and writing tied together; reading is fun	******		<u> </u>	No pre- or post- some individual and forwarded to	No pre- or post-test in reading some individual tests were alvoiund forwarded to schools	test in reading. tests were ofven sereois	reading,	All students received 3 or 4 books	Attendance
88	Mathematic	Mathematics Math is part of the world of world. You., need math to get alread				Most stuc	Most students felt they improved No final test plaven.	lt they	Improved.	yath seen as somethine useful	
)	Music	Fnjoyment and cultural pride		· z	r v	a a	, o , -	~ 6		Viewing different life styles and cultures through music - view one's own culture	
- **	Art	Look at Mexican culture and self			8 P	е , q	F > 0	P 0	-	large projects that everyone could feel part of	



General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Ъ
-
u
Ĕ
0
ပ္ပ
~
•
4
e
$\overline{}$
ab
Œ

Transmission (washington promise may			Methods for	for De	Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	ccess and	Number Th	at Succeed	led			
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests		Teacher Made Tests	Observation	ation	Criterion Reference Tests	7	Success Factors	Fallure Factors	
		,	Total Success		Total Success	ss Total	Success	Total Sa	Success	۸		
Sycamore (cont.)	Oral Language Develop- ment	Take an active part in a play ⁽ (role- play activity)		2	d a t	prov1	d e d		,	Pole-play approach - • children could be someone else. Tried to talk		
90	- <u>- </u>							_		like a banker, doctor, teacher, robber, etc.		
Migrant Children, Inc. Reynolds, Ill. R4031	Improve Cultyral Pride	No "standard" set. Children were allowed to express themselves freely as Mexican Americans	31	. 16						Staff members related well to children	Some arrived too late for retest data. Some only came occasionally.	;≱ <u>.</u> I
	Natural Science	No "standard" set. Bug collections, textbook instruc- tion, and experi- ments were conduc-		N .	d a t a	9 v o v d	о Ф Ф			No data provided	ded	ī

ERIC Frontided by ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd	P						•		
		•	Methods for D	etermining Succe	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	at Succeeded			
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher Made Tests	Observation	Criterion Peference Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors	(
			Total Success	Total Success	Total Success	Total Success			l
Reynolds (cont.)	Reading/ Language Arts	the expected our children to feel comfortable in communication whether in Spanish or English. We set no standards per se as that would cause us to push the child to meet our "standard." We created an environment conducive to learning and saw it happening.	. 27.	с «	р в т >		No data provided	v1 ded	
	Mathématics	s No "standard" set	Z ,	datap	. o v 1 d'e d		No data prowided	vided	
· ·		: _					•		,

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Table 4.2 Cont'd	P	•						1
•	-	,	Methods for D	Methods for Determining Success and Mumber That Succeêded	ss and Number Th	at Succeeded	• -	•
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	Teacher Made Tests	Observation	Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors
,		-	Total Success	Total Success	Total Success	Total Success		
Reynolds (cont.)	Oral Language Development Social Science	No "standard" set. Oral language was allowed to happen by permitting students to express them- selves freely, structured class instruction, and group counselling (rap sessions). No "standard" set. Field trips, rap sessions on cus- toms, food (Anglo and Mexican) were held along with Mexican American family ties.	X X	а в в р Ф р	о о о о		No data	provided
		•	•			-		<u> </u>

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Summer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

1007 TO 31001	\ 											,
	•		Metho	ds for D	etermini	esong Zu	ss and M	umber Th	Methods for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded	ded		
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized Tests	dized	Teacher Made Tests	r Made	Observation	tion	Criterion Reference Tests		Success Factors	Fallure Factors
		•	Total	Success	Total	Success	Total	Success	Total S	Success		•
Diamond Lake Schools - Mundelein W4026	Improve Cultural Pride/Self Identifi- cation	Improve To learn more about Cultural Mexican history, Pride/Self customs, and lan-Identifi- guage; to take cation pride in one's heritage and learn				·		- - 26				
		how that heritage has contributed to the country.	·	_	•	` ,		•				
9 3	Reading/ Language Arts	Standards Itemized by grade levels and class	14	. 6	140	110	155	, 141			Individualized instruction; small group	Poor attendance; lack of motiva- tion; poor atti-
,				•			,	. \			use of games; high interest activities; regular atten-	cude; poor command of English; need for more individualized instruction.
		,		•				´~ ,	,			
			•								·,	
,												

ERIC
Full text Provided by ERIC

General Overview of Schmer Term 89-750 Migrant Projects (1974)

Toble 4 2 Contid	•	•	\							
`-		,	Methods for D	Determining	Succes	is and Nur	mber Tha	for Determining Success and Number That Succeeded		
Name of Project & Project Number	Activity	Standard for Success	Standardized . Tests	Teacher Tests	lade .	Observation	ion	Criterion Reference Tests	Success Factors	Failure Factors
		-	Total Success	Total	Success	Total St	Success	Total Success	888	
Mundelein (cont.)	Art	to enjoy and improve skill in the use of a variety of media and techniques; to become familiar with some traditional Mexican					28		Student interest and motivation; high interest activities	Poor attendance
		and Indian designs and crafts.		•					•	
94	Nathematic	Nathematics Standards itemized by grade levels and class		142	116	127	113		Individualized instruction; small group instruction;	Poor attendance; lack of motivation; poor attitude; poor command of English;
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·) 3			``				of games; high interest activi- ties; regular attendance	
	• 8								,	
		_		<u> </u>						

Children Served

There were 11 regular term and 16 summer term migrant projects in Illinois during FY 74. This indicates a drop from Fast year, when 13 regular and 20 summer term programs were operative. In addition, the Princeville Area Migrant Child Development Center received funding for transportation only during FY 74 and Chicago received funds to determine if there were migrant children in Chicago who were not currently being served.

At the 11 regular term sites, 23 schools had migrant programs, while only 13 schools were used for the 16 summer term projects. Four sites operated out of churches or day care centers.

According to the figures provided on the questionnaire, 365 children were served during the regular term, and 1601 children during the summer term. Of these, 40 regular term children and 224 summer term children were settled out migrants. The ratio of settled out migrants to true migrants was 1:7 for both terms. Table 4.3 indicates that the migrant student regular term enrollment and the summer term enrollment have been fluctuating during the past three years.

Table 4.3	ENROLLMENT OF REGULAR	AND SUMMER TERM MIG	RANTS FROM 1972-1974
1.	1972	. 1973	1974
Regular	. 540		365
Summer	1705	1182	1601

Spanish was the primary language of 92% of the regular term and 83% of the summer term children.

During the regular term, eligible children were located mainly through visits by school representatives and teachers to the migrant camps, the migrant student record transfer system, and hearing about children through other people from the camp. Children were located during the summer months through visits by teachers and school representatives to the migrant camps and through information supplied by other children and parents in the project. Other methods used less frequently during both terms included: information supplied by the Illinois Migrant Council, visits by school representatives with growers in the area and surveys of area farms. Five projects indicated that there were migrant populations in their area that were not being currently served. The migrant coordinator has been given the specific information regarding the location of these migrants in order that he may insure that all migrant children in Illinois are being served.

Migrant funds were used to sponsor a survey of schools in Chicago's

Area B. Eight schools which had reported a sizeable number of prospective eligible children were selected for an in-depth survey. Two bilingual-bicultural teachers, were employed to conduct the survey in late July.

The results of the survey indicated that there were no eligible migrant children at these schools.

Teacher referrals, teacher made tests, and standardized test scores were the most frequently checked procedures or methods used for determining the needs of the migrant children during the regular term.

For the summer months, teacher referrals, teacher made tests, and parental referrals were checked most often.

Tables 4.4 and 4.5 indicate the total number of children participating by weeks in the regular and summer term projects. During the regular term, 116 (31%) of the 373 children remained over 16 weeks. Only 397 • (26%) of the summer term children participated the full eight weeks of the project, although 480 (32%) attended for seven weeks. This would 'indicate that 58% of the 1486 students participated at least seven weeks. There appeared to be no relationship between the withdrawal rate and the ages of the students, although a greater number of older students participated in the summer projects than in the regular term There is a discrepancy in the total numbers of children participating throughout this report since the figures recorded by the project directors were not consistent in the questionnaires. For example, on the first page of the questionnaire, the total number of migrants participating was recorded as 365 and 1601, for the regular and summer However, the unduplicated count of participants by week produced totals of 373 and 1,486 respectively.

NUMBER OF REGULAR TERM CHILDREN PARTICIPATING BY WEEKS

Table 4.4 (N=373)

Number of Weeks of Program	Number of Part Withdrawing We	icipants ekly	Number of Participants Remaining by Week
0	. 0		· . 3 / 3
· · 1	16	•	: 357
2 *	9 .		348
3 💸	17		331
4	31		300
5	25		275 -
6	35	_	240
	19	•	221 ′
•8	34		187
9-12	25		• 162
13-16	46	•	116
over 16	116		0

NUMBER OF SUMMER TERM CHILDREN PARTICIPATING BY WEEKS

Table 4.3 (N=146	<u> </u>				
Number of Weeks of Program	•	Number of Withdrawing	Participants g Weekly	Number of Part Remaining by V	icipants Veek
	1	·			•
•0	. `			1,486	
1		85		1,401	
. 2		•97	1	1,304	-
3		89		1,215	
4		88	_	1,127	
5		92		1,035	
6		. 138	1	,897	
7		480	•	417	
· 8·	• 1	397	-	20	- ·
9	. 1	20		0	
			, · · · /		

All 11 regular term sites indicated that migrant children were integrated with the non-migrant children. The most frequently checked methods of integration were classroom instruction, recreation or physical. education, art activities, library and field trips. The most effective technique used in integrating migrant and non-migrant children was integrated classrooms, with supplementary individualized or small group instruction provided for the migrant children.

During the summer, there were six sites where non-migrant children were attending school concurrently with migrant children. Of the six, only four had integrated school activities which consisted of combined classroom instruction, recreation or physical education, field trips and art activities. The techniques mentioned by three of the sites as being most effective for integrating migrant and non-migrant children were physical education or recreation, half days in the tegular classroom, half day in a special classroom, and a bilingual program.

Program, Activities

As seen in Table 4.6, the two most frequent instructional activities conducted at regular term sites were reading (250) and oral language development (217). Of the 365 regular term participants, only 71 were provided mathematics instruction through migrant funds. The emphasis on reading and language development was reported in last year's report as well. Less than 70 students participated in any of the other four activities mentioned.

Table 4.6 RANK ORDER OF REGULAR TERM ACTIVITIES

Subject/Activity .	Number of Partic	ipants ·	
Reading/Language Arts	250	٠	
Oral Language Development	·217		
Mathematics '	71		
Bilingual Instruction .	59	参	•
Art	58	•	•
Physical Education	26		
Music	26		-

reading (1122) and mathematics (1074) were taught most frequently.

Over 500 children participated in oral language development, physical education, improve cultural pride/self identification, art, and bilingual instruction. Fewer than 350 students participated in the remaining activities. Last year, the greatest /number of children participated in mathematics followed by physical education/recreation, reading, art, and language arts. Activities specified under "other" included: Mygiene, manual arts, preschool education, and field trips.

Table 4,7 RANK ORDER OF SUMMER TERM ACTIVITIES

Subject/Activity	Number of Participants	•
Peading -	1122	
Mathematics	1074	
Oral Language Development	563	
Physical Education	536	
Improve Cultural Pride/	,	
Self Identification	530	
Art	516	
Bilingual Instruction	516	
M 1 -	322	
Natural Science	237	
Social Science	217	
Career Awareness	129 · · · ·	
Speech	70	
Other	330	

The most frequently used technique during the regular term for implementing instructional activities was small group instruction (2-7), followed by individualized instruction, drill, tutorial assistance, and large group instruction (8-15). During the summer, large and small group instruction were used most frequently, followed by individualized instruction, field trips, and drill.

As part of the Hoopeston project, a study has been conducted for the last three years to ascertain the learning potentials of migrant school children. Three intelligence tests have been administered to the children: the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test, the Kuhlmann-Anderson Test of Intelligence (seventh edition) and the Cattell Culture Fair Test of Intelligence. The results indicated that the Kuhlmann-Anderson was the best group intelligence test to administer since the items assessed inherent capability, referred to as "process", and current cognitive skills, referred to as "product".

The author of the study, Dr. T. Ernest Newland, also recommended that the test scores should be recorded in terms of product, process



and mental age, since a teacher cannot possibly choose appropriate feading material for a child when only the IQ is known.

Plans are currently being made to expand the use of the Kuhlmann-

Program Objectives and Results

In the FY 73 migrant report; it was noted on pages 51 and 52 that standards for success were not well stated. The report indicated that a standard for success should include 1) the exact degree of success expected and 2) the methods used to measure that success. It may also include the length of time allowed for skill mastery. While the objectives, evaluation methods and standards for success were concisely stated in the migrant proposals, the standards for success that were submitted on the self assessment questionnaires were closer to goals than criteria statements that could be used to measure the success of a program or a teaching technique. For example, the Princeville summer proposal stated the following as its early elementary objectives:

The student is to increase at least .2 of a grade placement as determined by the Stanford Achievement in the following areas: Word Meaning, Paragraph Meaning, Spelling, Language, Word Study Skills, and Arithmetic computation.

The student is to increase his ability in and use of communication and thinking skills as determined by teacher observation (oral, written communication, knowledge, comprehension, application, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation). The student is to increase his ability in the use of basic study skills (following directions, dictionary skills, library skills, visual material skills) as determined by teacher made pre and post tests.

The student is to improve his attitudinal development in positive self-concept and bicultural awareness as determined by a pre and post survey to be developed from Osgood's Semantic Differential Scale.

Under evaluation, the Princeville proposal stated that, "In each of the instructional areas, a participating migrant child will be pre and post evaluated so that objective data can be gathered to assess a child's progress."

While visiting the Princeville project, the Program Review and

Documentation Unit learned that the children had not been given a pretest on the Stanford Achievement, and the Princeville self assessment
questionnaire does not have any standardized test scores for any
subject area. (Observation was the only method used to evaluate
positive self-concept.) A sampling of the projects reported standards
for success on the questionnaire include:

Increase level of interest in learning to read; identify correspondence between letters and . . sounds; know basic addition and subtraction factors; learn to appreciate variations of culture through music, movies, and art.

The Marengo proposal stated that the "student will improve word attack skills (and) improve comprehension of written English and Spanish material." In mathematics skills, the student was expected to "improve in his ability to comprehend mathematics concepts; . . . to comprehend and use mathematical operations; . . . to apply mathematics to everyday situations; . . . to use mathematical facts-computational skills."

Reading activities were to be evaluated through pretest and posttests observation, and seven other methods. Pre and post diagnostic tests on computation skills, teacher designed tests, publisher designed tests, and oral communication between teacher (and student were the methods specified to evaluate student performance in math. According to the self assessment questionnaire filed by Marengo, observation was the

only method used to evaluate any of the instructional activities.

Standards for success included: "improvement in mathematics; awareness of other communities, countries, life styles, daily occurrences, and available services; encourage individual interests."

Two sites that did more closely match their proposals with the self assessment questionnaires were Westmer Community Unit #203 in Joy, Illinois and Gibson City.

Westmer stated five priority areas in its proposal: language arts, math, cultural appreciation, health, and music/art. Evaluation plans consisted of the following:

Attendance checks to be carried out on each child to determine improved attitudes toward school. Teacher made tests will be used for evaluating individual gains in each activity area as well as aiding in placement of the child.

Objective tests may not be used since the students are usually in the program four to six weeks. Each child will be assessed based on where they are at the beginning of the program and evaluated at the end of the program.

On the self assessment questionnaire, criterion reference testing was used to evaluate reading, mathematics and bilingual instruction, while observation was used for oral language development, physical education and music. Standards for success included: 80% mastery of specified reading skills as determined by assessment of needs at start of program; 80% mastery in math skills as determined by assessment of needs at start of program.

According to Gibson City's proposal, each child was to:

... participate in an individualized program using the Texas Educational Department Criterion Reading Program, basal reading series and individualized reading laboratories. Progress will be measured by pre-post achievement tests and by skills mastered; participate in the individualized math lessons as defined by the Michigan

State - Minimal Performance Objectives for Mathematics in Migrant Education. Students will be instructed in basic skills and progress will be measured by pre-post achievement tests.

On the self assessment questionnaire, it was documented that standardized tests, teacher made tests and observation were used to evaluate the students in reading. Standardized tests, observation and criterion reference tests were used in math. The respective standards for success were: "improved from pretest to posttest (and) improvement of score from pretest to posttest; improvement in speed of math function drills; ability to grasp new functions."

Last year, the assistant to the migrant coordinator stated that she intended to provide inservice sessions for migrant project personnel in order to assist them in writing behavioral objectives, including measurable standards of success. This activity was not fully implemented in FY 74.

As indicated on page 38, the SEA migrant staff is planning to conduct inservice sessions on writing behavioral objectives and standards for success. The interviewer stressed the need for teachers to be informed as well as project directors. If the project director writes an excellent proposal stating project objectives, and the teachers never see these objectives or are aware of them, then the proposal will become a paper exercise. Even if there is insufficient time during . FY 75 to adequately train project directors and staff, the staff should be aware of the objectives before the project begins. Since the proposal is a contract between the site and the SEA, it is important that the migrant staff be prepared to meet the conditions of the contract, i.e., the proposed objectives and goals.

To further stress the need to reach the teachers, one teacher, during the site visit, asked members of the Program Review and Documentation Unit what the self assessment questionnaire meant by the phrase "expected standard for success." Another teacher, an exmigrant, mentioned that he would like to see greater use of behavioral objectives and the development of assessment techniques to better diagnose the childrens' weaknesses and teach those skill areas.

The Program Review and Documentation Unit should also provide guidance to the sites regarding the information requested on the questionnaires. A manual should be developed for 89-750 migrant as well as the other Title I programs, explaining what the terms mean and how the questionnaires should be filled out.

Tables 4.8 and 4.9 below indicate the number of children succeeding by activity and the evaluation methods used. Data was collected by age, but since no significant differences were indicated, only the totals are being reported. Although the unduplicated number of participants for each activity was known, a limitation of the questionnaire prevented the recording of the unduplicated number of successes.

Another limitation was the definition of the activity "oral language development." When this category was devised, it was meant to encompass the Michigan Oral Language Through Science program. However, many projects that did not use the science program checked this activity.

The most frequently used methods for assessing the students' performance in either term were teacher made tests and observation.

A limitation of evaluation achieved through observation is lack of specificity. Except for summer term math programs, successes noted were highest in the observation category.

ERIC

Table 4.8		REGUI	REGULAR TERM PI	PROGRAM ACT	ACTIVITIES A	ND NUMBER	AND NUMBER SUCCEEDING (1974)	9/4)		
			a	Met	Methods of T	/ of Testing	•		د حمد	
			7					•		
	Standardized	rdized .	Teach	Teacher Made	7	***	Criterion	Criterion Reference	• 6	· .
Activity	Tes Total	Tests Total Success	Total	Tests al Success	Ubser Total	<u>Ubservation</u> otal Success	re Total	rests al Success	Total	Utiet 11 Success
Reading	. 773	25	179	132	137	115.	26	. 16~	29	, 25
Mathématics	100	۲.	99.	. 05	24	20	26	16		
Oral Language Development	. 31	25	38	31	146	117		,	7 ,	, LO
Bilingual Instruction	15	10	115	10	23	, 61	25	51	15	12
Art	1	,	•	ጓ	112	112	,	•		
Physical Education	· · · · · ·	•	ن د	<i>;</i>	. 26.	. 56			-1	
Music		~		}	26	. 97				
							,	,	•	

SUMMER TERM ACTIVITIES AND NUMBER SUCCEEDING (1974)

,	,				Meth	Methods of Te	Tocting				
•				į			giring			*	
	4	Standardized Tests	rdlzed ;ts j	Teacher P	cher Made Tests	Obser	Observation,	Criterion Te	Criterion Reference Tes t s	 - 0t) Other
	Activity	Total S	Success	Total	Success	Total	Total Success		Total Success	Total	ess
	Keading	361	284	592	-452	890	791	. 97	34	106	¹ 96
٠	Mathematics	. 347	281	. 700	578	. 736	555	25	. 19	125	98
	Oral Language Development	170 .	142	191	, 671	, , 446	427	. ~	3	68	. 08
	Physical Education	• ,	•	. 15	. 15	558		-		. 70	67
10.7	i Improve Cultural Pride	31	.16.	170	165	556	540	*			<i>'</i> -
	Arť	,	•	15	15 '	580	579	`	*	°70	, 89
.01	Bilingual Instruction	61	61		124	410	398	5	. 3	70	.99
,	Music			56	56	293	287	. ,		,	
	Natural Science	_		,17	10	237	225	-	•		
,	Social Sciènce		•	57	48	179	. 165 .	•			
	Career				•	129	123		ī	8	ر کیا
	Speech		بر	۵						70.	99
•	Other	,		٠	١.	315 •	306			→ ,	
		. •								<u> </u> ;]

Success rates were somewhat higher in the summer projects than in the winter ones. The success rate of the students was lower than 70% in four regular term categories (reading, math, bilingual instruction, and music as measured by standardized tests, teacher made tests, criterion reference tests and observation), and in only one summer term category (improved cultural pride as measured by standardized tests).

Regular term projects attributed student successes to individualized instruction, regular attendance, and good student motivation, interest and attitude. During the summer, student success was attributed to varied activities that were interesting, good student motivation, interest and attitude, individualized instruction, and regular attendance.

Failure during the regular term was attributed to early drop-out rate and poor attendance, while summer projects felt poor attendance, lack of motivation/poor attitude, poor command of English and/or Spanish and non-participation were the factors contributing to student failure.

Although this evaluation has been critical of the projects regarding written standards for success, the evaluation unit is aware that the migrant children are being taught by concerned, dedicated, competent teachers. Teachers at the three sites that were visited this summer were asked to submit a narrative describing one child, their goals for that child, and the accomplishments made by the end of eight weeks. Below is a sample of the narratives submitted.

The subject of my study was Haydee, who was ten years old. She had five older sisters and five younger brothers, and entered the fifth grade this fall. She was about five feet four inches tall, thin and very pretty.

The one thing that particularly struck me about Haydee was her silence and low, almost inaudible, voice. When Haydee first came, which was two weeks after the beginning of the program, I had to have her repeat her name three times before I could tell what her name was.

Since one of my objectives was to make our children, more expressive verbally and in writing; I set out to get Haydee to talk more. I assumed that if she talked more, she would gain confidence in talking and soon the volume of her voice would increase.

I assigned her to a seat with a group of three talkative girls, and I made it a point to say good morning and talk to her at other times during the day. The first few weeks she would only give me short answers to any questions or sit and listen to her classmates. She did not perform well on her first two spelling lists and her math work, although she performed well in reading. She participated in all recreational activities, but was made fun of by other students because of the way she can; she responded to teasing with a smile.

In the weeks that followed, I found her to be quite intelligent; her voice was loud enough to be heard without straining and it improved to a better than just acceptable level. She had also come to the point of initiating and carrying out a conversation with the students and me.

Rodolfo:

Rodolfo is a nine year old boy of small build. He has dark eyes and black hair. His eyes are very expressive and they indicate the mood he is in or if the is well or ill. Upon a teacher and parent request his eyes were examined by the school nurse who reported he had poor vision.

At meal times he has an unbelievable appetite. He will ask for 3 or more servings at every meal. At times you have to refuse to serve him more because the other children would like second servings of food. His appetite for drinks is matched by his appetite for food; he is always hungry. A special request for a complete physical was made.

During frequent intervals, he has to go to the bathroom — much too frequently compared to other children in the class. He constantly has to urinate. He speaks both English and Spanish fluently.

In a classroom situation, he usually doesn't get along with other children, especially with boys. He invariably arouses the boys, which results in a temper flare-up or a fight. According to Rodolfo, it is always the other kid's fault. Now, if he is placed with one or a group of girls to work with or if he works by himself, he is fine.

He needs constant physical contact with the teacher or other female adults, and he responds to verbal reinforcement from female adults who show interest and concern for him. When he speaks to you, he needs to hold your hand or arm or to be in close physical contact. At times, his needs are so excessive that I neglect other children who need my attention; I must occasionally deny him that reinforcement.

Academically he is a hard worker, but he becomes frustrated because he is unable to do some things well. During the short time he sas been with me, I have had him in a beginning primer reader. In spite of his desire to master reading, he has not learned basic reading skills — decoding, sight words, and phonetic sounds. Words that start with "W" cause him trouble. What he needs is hours of out-loud reading practice and phonetic and language practice.

In the area of math, he is successful at 2nd and 3rd grade math computations in addition and subtraction. He is basically an intelligent child, but he lacks in school and social experiences. He does not always feel successful or confident. He needs many hours of practice in reading, language arts and math.

Yolanda:

The subject of the study is Yolanda. Her birthdate is 8-7-70 so that when she entered the program in June, 1974 she was only 3 years old. She was in the class of 4-year-olds because the teacher in the 3-year-old class felt she was farther advanced physically and socially than the other 3-year-olds. She was not the youngest in the class, but she was the smallest child. Physically she is a very cute little girl and very out-going with strangers.

On first entering, it was noticeable right away
that socially and emotionally she was quite
immature for her age. She was not yet ready for
cooperative play since she was still very egocentric and very self-centered. She screamed

110

if anyone got near her when she was playing with any toy, and she was unable to share anything. At times other children would want to play with her, but she would get very upset if they touched anything. She always referred to everything as "mine". She was unable to stand in a line with the other children; it was almost as if the line didn't exist for her. She was constantly throwing tantrums - throwing herself onto the ground and kicking and screaming and crying. Her body was also very tense and stiff when she threw these tantrums. The reasons for the tantrums were usually very trivial, and many times the reasons were unknown to the teacher. Yolanda generally threw a tantrum if she was made to do something or if she was told not to do something. At mealtimes she liked to roam the lunchroom seeking attention in the way of hugs, kisses, and being held by the other adults. Unless she was bodily brought back to the table, she wouldn't return until the meal was over. Thus she threw a tantrum at every meal because she didn't like to be made to sit at the table with the rest of the class. Her attention span was very limited when doing things in a group activity.

Our goals for Yolanda lay in her social and emotional development: to learn to play cooperatively with the other children, to share things, to be able to stand in a line, to join in group activities, to reduce, the number of tantrums and express her anger verbally.

We found out from one of the bus aides that she had a younger brother, 2 years old, who was also in the school. We observed her behavior with him and found she was quite helpful, always looking out for him and seeming to act more mature when with him; we knew she was capable of behaving more maturely. We also learned / that the parents always left before the bus came to pick up the two children and arrived after the children came home. Thus the two were own their own for about & hour to 15 minutes both morning and night. Several times, in fact, they overslept and the aides had to go in and wake them up and dress them. We felt that the attention and affection she was always seeking from the other adults came from a definite need and probable lack of it at home. To stop her wandering at mealtimes and to cut down the tantrums resulting from this, we asked the other teachers to just give her a quick smile and

send her back. We praised her whenever she passed any length of time without crying or throwing a tantrum. We gave her a lot of attention when she was exhibiting the kind of behavior we wanted. Whenever she threw her tantrums we would ignore her, and generally tried to leave the area she was in, leaving her by herself to throw the tantrum. We always explained to her that we were purposely doing this and that we didn't want to watch her.

After several weeks we began to notice changes .in her behavior, and at the end of the eight week program we could see that she had matured quite a bit. There had been a big reduction in the number of tantrums; .she has only 2 or 3 a week, and now for more justified reasons. She can also now tell us why she's upset while she's throwing a tantrum and as a result, many times she can be coaxed out of it. She no longer screams when others touch what she's using. fact, she's able to play with and share her things with all the other children. She is able to stand in a line and can wait her turn. She'll ask to do something now instead of demanding or just doing it. She praises herself to the teachers when she's behaving well. She is able to work while the other children are working and joins group activities quite readily; she doesn't wander around at mealtimes anymore. When some new children arrived in the class several weeks ago, she took them yound (all on her own initiative), showed them everything and was very helpful with them. We feel that she has come a long way in her social and emotional development.

Staffing of Title I Projects

There were 22 professional staff members who were hired during the regular term and 11 hired for the summer term. Of these, 12 (54%) and 95 (85%) respectively, were teachers.

Table 4.10 below shows the number of regular and summer term bilingual and non-bilingual staff that worked with migrant children during FY 74. The reader should be aware that the figures do not match the figures stated in the first paragraph; this was how the data was submitted by the sites.



Table 4.10 REGULAR AND SUMMER TERM BILINGUAL STAFF

Bilingual Non-Bilingual Regular Summer Regular Summer

Paid Professional 6 48 7 61

Paid Aide 14 64 2 22

Volunteer Professional 0 8 0 8

Volunteer Aide 0 23 1 38

Of the regular term staff, 46% of the paid professionals and 88% of the paid aides were bilingual. Bilingual paid professionals made up 44% of the summer staff and 74% of the aides were bilingual.

Teacher-student ratios for the regular term were 1:25, while the ratio for teachers and paid aides to students was 1:11. Teachers were selected by 8 of the 11 regular term sites because of their interest in working with migrant children. Other criteria for selection checked were excellent teaching ability and being bilingual.

Aides were selected on the basis of their interest in working with migrant children, their bilinguality, and because they were parents of migrant children.

During the summer term, the teacher-student ratio was 1:16, while the ratio for teachers and paid aides to students was 1:8. Summer term projects indicated that 61 volunteer aides participated in the programs. This brings the student-staff ratio down to 1:6.

All 16 sites indicated that excellence in teaching was a criteria used to select teachers for the summer projects. Interest in working with migrant children was checked by 15 sites. Other methods for selection included being bilingual, having a background in the area of disadvantaged children; and having a background in the life style of migrant families.



The three most frequently checked methods for selecting aides were interest in working with migrant children, being bilingual, and being a parent of a migrant child.

Inservice

Of the 11 regular term projects, 6 checked that they held regularly scheduled inservice meetings, and 11 of the 16 summer sites indicated likewise. Three of the regular term projects held inservice meetings monthly, two held them bimonthly, and one held them weekly. During the summer, six sites met weekly, and the other five each had differing schedules.

INSERVICE PARTICIPANTS BY ACTIVITY AND
Table 4.11 MOST EFFECTIVE ACTIVITIES

14010 11002			- 110					
•	Tea	chers	Ai	des	Adminis	Most Ef	fective	
Inservice Activity	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	I S.
Discussion by staff of	. –	·			-			
individual children	ໍ 8 .	59	14	64	, <u>ż</u>	7	3	5
Improvement of techniques					· .	`	,	1
and methods of teaching	13	75	8.	74	4	10	1 '	3
Improvement in use of					· -			
appropri <u>ate</u> curriculum					!		t j	1
materials	5	82	7	77	4	12	1	4
Improvement of indivi-					,]
dualized instruction	5	_50	5	49	2	-4	3	2
Preparation for teaching								
English as a second					1 ^		, .	
language	1	29`	3	34	0 1	· 5	0	0
Improvement of classroom						•	, ,	
management techniques	3	60	. 3	54	1	5	1	0
Outside consultant	0	31	0	33	0	5	0	3
Other	1	5	1	. 6	2	2	. 3	1.

As can be seen in Table 4.11, discussion by staff of individual children, improvement of techniques and methods of teaching, improvement in use of appropriate curriculum materials, improvement of individualized instruction, and improvement of classroom management techniques were the inservice activities attended by the greatest number of teachers, aides and administrators.

The most effective inservice activities for the regular term staff were discussion by staff of individual children and improvement of individualized instruction; both activities were checked three times as being most effective. Five summer projects checked discussion by staff of individual children as being most effective, followed by, improvement in use of appropriate curriculum material (4), improvement in techniques and methods of teaching (3) and use of an outside consultant (3). Although many sites had improvement of classroom management techniques as an inservice activity, none of the summer projects checked this as being an effective activity. Neither the summer not the regular projects felt the inservice sessions on English as a second language were effective.

For the past seven years, teachers from Texas have been hired by Texas to come to Illinois during the summer months and provide assistance to teachers of migrant children in Illinois. Until this year, the teachers from Texas have worked as a team, traveling from project to project in a mobile van provided by the state. This year, however, they disbanded as a team and visited the projects on an individual basis. The mobile van continued to be used as a traveling resource library.

Since the questionnaires were developed before the team was disbanded, the self assessment questionnaire asked about the activities of the inservice team. Three of the regular term sites said members of the team visited their project and provided helpful information. The workshop in Joliet that apparently was conducted by the inservice team clarified the use of the migrant student record transfer system and provided new curriculum ideas. Two regular term projects cited this workshop as being helpful. Another site mentioned receiving similar

assistance from the state inservice team. Two regular term projects.

would like the team to continue to provide curriculum materials along

with techniques and methods for teaching migrapt children.

Eight regular term projects never used supplies made available through the state mobile van, two sites used the van sometimes (1-3 times), and one project used materials from the van frequently (4-2 times). It should be noted that the van and the inservice team are operative mainly during the summer months. The low response rate by regular term projects is probably related to the small time overlap of the programs.

Of the 16 summer projects, 14 checked they had been visited by the state inservice team. Since the questionnaire referred to a team, two sites may have checked "no" because they had been visited by the Texas paid teachers individually. Of the 14 sites that indicated the team had visited the project, two stated that the information provided was not helpful.

Information provided by the state inservice team to the summer term projects fell into three categories: assistance on the migrant student record transfer system; curriculum suggestions and teaching techniques, and assistance with administration of the project, é.g., record keeping.

One project stated that:

the services the state inservice team can provide in the future would be in the area of reading/language arts; individualized approach; instructional strategies; and bilingual-bicultural background of migrant students.

Another site would like "more constructive criticism relating to handling and teaching preschool children from two to five years of age."

Supplies made available through the state mobile van during the summer were never used by three projects, were used sometimes (1-3 times) by six sites, and were used frequently (4-8 times) by seven sites.

The migrant coordinator and his staff are planning to remodel the van and increase the number of materials available for loan next year.

The van will also be used to house materials on career awareness.

Medical and Dental Services

Of the 365 students participating in migrant programs during the regular term, 14 received a dental screening, 6 needed dental treatment, and 2 received dental treatment. Eighteen students were given physical examinations, seven were found to need medical assistance, and five received assistance.

During the summer, 1201 of the 1601 participants received a dental screening. Of these, 955 were found to need treatment, and 846 were treated. Physical examinations were conducted for 637 children. Medical assistance was provided for 199 of the 128 children needing medical services.

The dental services were provided through a mobile dental unit that was financed with Title I migrant funds. The increased medical and dental care provided for migrant children was in keeping with the recommendation made last year by Dr. Walter Steidle, from Washington, D.C. (See page 9, annual migrant report 1973). Dr. Steidle had expressed concern over the limited medical and dental services provided last year by some local projects.



Parental Involvement

In Table 4.12 below, the number of parents involved in activities during the regular and summer terms are listed, along with the types of involvement.

NUMBER.OF PARENTS INVOLVED IN REGULAR AND Summer TERM ACTIVITIES (1974)

,	•	
Type of Involvement	Regular Term	Summer Term
Served on Advisory Council	4	17
Attended orientation meetings	5	65 , "
Involved in planning project activities	1	24
Classroom or program visitation	13	437 "
Evaluated project activities	0,	- 41
Active in recruitment or support of		-
project activities	! 1	55
Helped supervise field trips	. 0	70
Acted as aides ·	. 7	30
Contacted by school staff at home	- 86	508
Other	41	85 ^
Total*	158	1332

^{*}The total includes a duplicate count of parents. The same parents may have visited the classroom and also helped supervise field trips.

There were 127 parents (41%) involved in regular term activities. This is an increase from last year when only 10% of the parents were involved. The most effective activity as well as the most frequent activity as indicated by the questionnaire was staff contact with parents in the home, although one site indicated that this activity was the least effective.

During the summer, parental involvement increased to 59% (946) from 44% (519) last year. Activities that drew in the greatest number of parents were: contact by school staff at home and classroom or program visitation. Included under program visitation were special events such as parent night or a Christmas show.

One of the goals indicated by the migrant coordinator in last



report was continued emphasis on the parent/school relationship.

There indeed has been increased parental contact with the school.

Another goal documented in last year's report was the formation

of a State Parent Advisory Council, whose purpose would be to advise as to the legitimacy of the migrant programs for the children. One of the problems in implementing this goal was the transiency of the migrant parents. According to the migrant coordinator, an advisory group may eventually evolve from the current recruiter program. Recruiters were hired during FY 74 to visit the parents in the camps and register the children for school. The coordinator hopes that as the recruiters increase their knowledge of the school program and the migrant parents, they will take on more advisory roles. The migrant coordinator and the Title I 89-10 director are also exploring the possibility of including a migrant parent on the state advisory board.

Dissemination and Cooperation with Other Agencies

Federal, state, local, and privately supported programs or agencies that provided assistance to the same migrant populations served by the projects are listed below in Table 3.13 along with the frequency of cooperation.

COOPERATING PROGRAMS OR AGENCIES AND

Table 3.43 PREQUENCT OF C	ONIACI	
Agency	Regular	Summer
Community Action Agencies	3 '-	5
Local Title I Projects	6	. 8
Illinois Migrant Day Care Center	3 .	7.
County Health Department	4	8
Illinois Department of Mental Health	3	2
Neighborhood Youth Corps	0	5
Illinois Department of Public Health	3	8
Project Head Start	. 2	4
Illinois Department of Children		•
and Family Services	2 .	5
Other	6	- 9

The regular term projects cooperated most frequently with local Title I projects. Agencies or programs specified under "other" included SEDOL, a special education district, the bilingual education program, the Iowa-Illinois Migrant Health Program, the Texas Migrant Council Day Care Center, and the Illinois Department of Agriculture which provided free lunches.

The three most frequently checked agencies during the summer months were: local Title I projects, county health departments, and the Illinois Department of Public Health. Agencies or programs specified under "other" included: the dental lab at SIU (Carbondale), Harper College, Department of Apriculture - Food Services, Washington, D.C., Title VI, Rochelle Clinic, Gibson City Migrant Council, Champaign County Regional Planning Committee, and a special education district in Ogle County.

Eight regular term and 13 summer term projects attempt to inform other communities of successes they have had with their programs. The regular and summer projects relied on newspaper articles, radio announcements, newsletters, state meetings, and workshops conducted by the state or other groups to disseminate their successes.

State meetings, state workshops, meetings with people from other districts and receiving materials from other states and communities were the predominant methods used to seek information regarding other successful programs. There were 9 regular term and 13 summer term projects that incorporated successful programs or noncommercial curriculum materials into their projects. Several sites experimented with the Michigan Oral Language Objectives for Mathematics in Migrant Education (Michigan). Joliet incorporated Write Right, a program

used with Florida migrant children in its program along with mimeographed picture dictionaries reproduced on site. Gibson City used the Magic Circle Program from the Institute of Human Development, San Diego, California. Other examples were also provided on the questionnaires.

Coordination with Texas, the sending state, was attempted by two regular term and five summer term projects. Four sites relied on information obtained from Texas based teachers hired to work in Illinois summer projects and Texas teachers working for the state office. One project contacted the Texas SEA; the others used the migrant student record transfer system. It is not known why the other sites failed to try coordinating their programs with Texas. Perhaps they had tried in the past and had not been successful.

Since the Texas teacher exchange program operates only during the summer months, none of the regular term projects participated; five summer term projects did. All five felt the Texas teachers brought new ideas in understanding the migrant children. Two sites noted that the out-of-state teachers contributed curriculum materials and ideas that were useful to the program.

Migrant Student/Record Transfer System

Service on requests for information from the migrant student record transfer system (MSRTS) improved for 8 of the 11 regular regular term projects and for 12 of the 16 summer term projects.

Those sites that felt service had not improved attributed the failure to late arrival of the forms and insfficient information on the forms.

As indicated last year, the MSRTS was used monthly to coordinate the Illinois program with that of the sending state and to aide in providing health services. Less than half of the regular or summer term projects used the forms to aide in grade placement.

The migrant coordinator stated during an interview that while much information is available at the data bank, it may not appear on the MSRTS forms unless specifically requested. Teachers and directors may not be aware of this. Further explanation of how to best utilize the MSRTS should be provided at the FY 75 summer workshop.

It is very important that every migrant student in Illinois be registered on the MSRTS, since funding will be based on the population recorded there. According to the questionnaire, regular term enrollment was 365, but only 279 were registered on the MSRTS. During the summer, only 1522 of the 1601 participants were registered. The summer project based out of the Federated Church of Sycamore failed to register any of the 51 migrant students. According to the Princeville regular term questionnaires, none of the students were registered on the MSRTS. It is not known why the other sites did not register all their students. One site noted that only 4 of their 18 students appear on the MSRTS because there was a lack of coordination between their record system and that of the MSRTS.

SEA Contact

All regular and summer term projects had contact with a Title I representative from the SEA during FY 74 regarding the 89-750 migrant programs. Table 4.14 below indicates the frequency of the various types of contact as reported by the local sites. (SEA contact was much more frequent during the summer months.)



TYPE AND FREQUENCY OF SEA CONTACT WITH LOCAL PROJECTS (1974)

Table 4.14

SEA/LEA Contact	Regular	Summer
•	•	
Assisted in planning program activities	3	5
Assisted with financial questions/problems	7	13
Provided assistance in completing SEA forms	. 2	6
Provided assistance in clarifying guidelines	4	10
Assisted in developing evaluation system	0	3 -7
Assistance in initiating new projects	1	1
Met at SEA inservice session(s)	4	10
Visited program	5 -	15
Telephone contact only	4	0

Of the 11 regular term and 16 summer term field projects, only 1 site indicated that the SEA contact was inadequate for its needs. All the other projects provided laudatory comments regarding the prompt attention given by the migrant coordinator and her staff to their respective needs.

APPENDIX A

STATE OF ILLINOIS

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
MICHAEL J BAKALIS, SUPERINTENDENT
Title I Public Law 89 750
1020 South Spring Street
Springfield, Illinois: 62706

ANNUAL SELF ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE - MIGRANT PROGRAM

		Fiscal `	Year 1 <u>9</u> 7	4						
INSTRUCTIONS Complete in trip and September 4, 1 x,74 for summer te DO NOT COMBINE REGULAR AND	rm projects SEPAR	copy and subr	nit two c	COMPLE	the above TED FOR	REGULA	H I ERM I	440 30m	men rnc	
NAME OF EDUCATIONAL AGENCY			COUN	TY DIST	RICT CO	DES	TYPE OF I	PROJECT ar Term		mer Term
ADDRESS (Street, City, Zip Code)			CONG	RESSION	AL DISTR	ICT I	PHONE NO	OF CO	TACT PE	RSON
PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR TITLE	ELEVALUATION	SIGNATUR						PROJEC	T NUMBE	R
The following definitions are provided Unduplicated Count is used to denot district on the actual rumber of part derit fied classification, the report Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) is used Decimal places are fid catch	te the actual number tic pants in a given a to delote trul lime oxxes for FTE liplea	r of part o pating assignme it or car but one staff me ase round off to t	certain te students tegory T mber serv he nearest	rms used in or Title I he undupt ing on a to tenth place	staff mem cated cou untime ba e Donot	tbers for a int should assist for the put fract	bom funds only dent aduration ons in thos	s were a form of the pro- se boxes	cared to 1 oppoint or prections	ty of term
Project is used to denote the school may have the normare approved by	districtis plan to ass erro	st educationally	disadvan'	taged studi	ents as de	scribed in t	the Tife In	<u> </u>	A scho.	
		GENERAL	NFORM	ATION		1				
A is your Title i migrant funding If yes, stop here, and submit the B No of schools in district which	his form to Springfie	ld,	□ No	M	grant Proj	ects	of teachers			
C - Unduplicated number of chil				tid - G Ni	opating in	Title I Mig	grant Proje whose prim	cts		· · ·
D Unduplicated number of pro- by Title I Migrant Projects	ressional starr frein			-						
<u> </u>			TAFF							
2 Report the unduplicated number in both Spanish and English.*	of Title I staff mer	mbers who were	bilingual	(Bilingual	is defined	as the abil	ity to spea	k, read, an	nd write w	ith ease
TYPE OF STAFF	BILINGUAL	NON-BILINGU	AL	TYPE	OF STAF	F	BILIN	GUAL	NON-BIL	INGUAL
A Paid Professionals			c v	olunteer f	Professiona	315				
B Paid Aides				/olunteer /				Pu		
3. Check one or more of the methor migrant project. Noted for excellence in text. Background in area of disated the style of the styl	aching sdvantaged children f migrant families n migrant children	·	SERVICI	Bilinn Pårer High Inter Assig	gual nts of migr School de ested in w	ant childre gree or G orking wit		•		
5 1f preservice or inservice training	g and staff developm	ent activities we	re a part		igrant pro	ject, indic	ste the nu	mber of st	aff that at	tended for
each applicable category and their	n check (v) the mos	t effective activit	Ť				PARTICIP			MOST
PRESERVI Inservice			Teachers	· Aides	Social Workers	Psychol- ogists	Parent Workers	Admin- istrators	Other	EFFECTIVI
Discussion by staff of individual chil	ldren •	•	ž.		7	<u></u>	`		L	<u> </u>
Improvement of techniques and met	thods of teaching						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Improvement in use of appropriate			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	├ ──	<u> </u>	├	
Improvement of individualized instr				 	 		 	 	 	-
Preparation for teaching English as a			!	_	 	 	 	 	 	
Improvement of classroom managen	nent techniques		1	1					 	
Girende consultant	 	124	<u> </u>							

								_					
6. Are	there regula	rly schedule	<u> </u>	If yes,	, how often			,		•		•	
	rvíce meetin	` ا	Yes 🔲	· · · [] Wéck++ [Bimonet	ilv 🔲 Mons	њ. 🔲 (Other (speci	n)			
7 Did	the state ins	ervice team	visit your pro	ject?	•			٠		•y	•	-,	
				\ U			helpful info	rmation or fo	edback?	Yus	<u> </u>		
8. Pleas	8. Please describe the type of information the state inservice team provided.												
									* *,				
							•	•				-	
9. Wha	t services wo	uld you like	the state in:	ervice team	to provide in	n the future?	, ,,	•		,_	\		
			•		•	•	1				/		
,			~					•			,		
	4.			•	•	,			•			• •	
									r				
10 How		_	ise subbli <u>eż i</u>		le through th	e State Mot	oile Van?		,				
Never Sometimes (* 3 times Frequently 4.8 times) ;													
11 Check the boxes which correspond to the methods you used to locate children for this project.													
	-		ord Transfer		☐ 5 f	ederal guide	r-es	•		9' S .1	'very of orest	armis	
닏			migrant cam		=	urriames				☐ 10 Ch	lidren were to caram by othe	old about the erchildren and	
님			sentatives to	•		,	suppired by i		1	eq.	rents in the p	project	
با	· the camp		i through Uth	ier beable **		risits by scho he area	ooi represent	atives with g	rowers in	11 0;	her (specity)		
		•				MEDICA	L						
12 A P	lease indicat	te the numbe	r of children			В.	Please indic	ate the numb	per of childre	en			
ζ	h	o received a	dental scredi	ning				who received	l a physical e	exam			
- /	wr	o ñeeded de	ntai "ea"mei	rt.		}		who needed	-			k.	
			e <u>ntal treatm</u> e		· ·			יאיאה רמרפיעמר	medical ass	esna to			
Ç I	f you have h	ealth éducat	ion instructi	on, piease de	scribe genera	al content ar	eas le.g. der	ntal care, eye	, ear care, se	x education	, drugs, etc		
	^					,		•					
												•	
•													
13 Pier	se indicate ti	he number o	f migrant chi	ldren who s	Caive onver	ment subsid	lizad			•			
•		arias:			nch		snani	, s					
					_	OF PART	ICIPANTS						
14 Chec	ck the proce	dures or met	hods used to	determine t				roject.					
	Teacher refe	_	Migrant S						Parental ref	ierrais 🗌	Other (spe	cify)	
	Standard ze						Teacher mad	le tests 🔲	Physical ma				
15 Esti	mate the tot	al number of	weeks each	child partici	pated in the	program. R	eport the un	duplicated c	ount by age	and sex.			
NUMBER			ВО	YS				_		RLS			
OF WEEKS IN THE PROGRAM	 _	_	Ву	Age			i_		Ву	Age	Ţ.		
PROGRAM	3-5	6-8	910	11 12	13-14	15-16	35	6-8	9-10	11-12	13 14	15 16	
Over 16 16	 	 	 		 		<u> </u>	 		 	-		
15	 	 		•	 	 	 	 	 	 			
14	 		 			,	 	 	— —				
13						<u> </u>							
12	1	· -					1	<u> </u>		 			
11							•			İ			
10												`.	
9	,					,	<u> </u>			·			
8		ļ					<u> </u>	4					
7	<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>			,	ļ			-			
6	 					<u> </u>	 			 			
5	 				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
4	 	•				 		 				1	
3	 		-			125	0	 		 		-	
3 1		· -	Ç 4 '	,			Į.	,			<u> </u>		
							= 4.			<u>. </u>			

**	** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	
1	PROGRAM INTEGRATION	
	6. Were non-migrant children attending school concurrently with migrant children? If yes, were the migrant children integrated with the non-migrant children during school activity yes, how? Check () below	
	☐ Classic or instruction ☐ Art Activities ☐ Other ispecify! ☐ Recreation or Physical Edubation ☐ Library ☐ Field trips ☐ Health Services	
17	7 Describe the most effective techniques used in integrating migrant and non-migrant children.	•
	,	
		•
	•	•
		•
		- OFFICE USE ONLY
	· ·	
	PARENT INVOLVEMENT	accept may be counted in more than one category. Indicate
18	For each applicable type of involvement, estimate the number of parents participating. A participating the most effective types of involvement by placing the letter "M" in the "effectiveness" of please indicate with the letter "L" in the effectiveness column.	olumn. If any of the following activities were not effective
_	TYPE OF INVOLVEMENT NUM	MBER OF PARENTS EFFECTIVENESS
_	Served on Advisory Council	
_	Attended orientation meetings	
	Involved in planning project activities	
_	Classroom or program visitation	
	Evaluated project activities	
	Active in recruitment or support of project activities	
	Helped supervise field trips	,
	Acted as airles	
·_•	Contacted by school staff at home	
	Other (specify)	,
	19. Unduplicated number of parents involved	
	,ì	
	*	
	•	•
		,
		a TRIGUL AT AVAIL
		- OFFICE USE ON LY
	COOPERATION AND DISSEMINAT	TIÓN
21	21 Check any Federal, state, local or privately supported programs or agencies which provide project.	ed assistance to the same migrant population served by your
	PROGRAM OR AGENCIĘS	
	_	OC L
		es
		partment of Public Health
(County Health Department Project He	•
-	T Hands De	partment of Children and Family Services
Di	126 Differ (spe	

		MIG	RANT STUDENT R	ECORD TRAN	ISFER SYSTEM		
22	Has service on the req Record Transfer Syste	uest of the Migrant Stud	ent	·	-		
	☐ Yes*		If no, is it because	tre, a - c	igter Garro s Vitarios vitarios auto	other (spe. 1)	tirrigheniçi, kormi P
23		the appropriate boxes b	elow how the Migrant S	tudent Record Tr	ansfer System was (used by your site	
	To aide fratai	des acere t			/**		, 4
	To aide it pro	iv 1191) they they got us					• .
	To coordinate	ell neis program with th	at ut the send of State	•	. J		· · ·
	Other issacify		•	•		,	-
24	Indicate the number migrant project	of students registered o	n the Migsant Student F	Record Transfer S	ystem during their (enrollment in the	······································
25 _	Indicate the number of the project	of students recorded as	withdrawn on the Migra	int Student Recor	d Transfer System	at the conclusion	•
26	A Are there migrar	nt populations in your ar	ea not being served by n	nigrant projects?	Yes 🔲	No If yes, where a	• they?
	•		•	*		•	,
		•	•	•	.s.		
	•				,	•	•
•					•	,	
	-	<i>ب</i> و					æ
		2			•		•
	0 1-						
	B In your opinion,	why are they not being	served?				
						4	,
	,						
							•
				•	~		
	•						• •
							•
	٠						•
			SEA	CONTACT			• • •
27	Did you have any cor	ntact with a Title I repres	entative from the State	Education Agenc	y during FY 74 reg	arding 89-750 migrant	programs?
	Yes No	If yes, check the items i	which best describe the	contact(s),		a	
	-		ng program activities			n initiating new project	s · , '
			ncial questions/problem			inservice session(s)	
			e in completing SEA foi e in clarifying guideline:		Visited prog		
•			ping evaluation system	•	Other (speci	ontact only (fy)	
	If an SEA representat	ive assisted in blanning o		of your program,			se.
						<i>f</i> .	,

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

28 Doe:	s your project attempt to inform	other communities of	successes you have , at with	vour program? TYE	S NO If yes, how?	
500.	your project actompt to surjetus		2	, out programs	, <u> </u>	
: .	, , , , ,			1		
	<i>:</i>		•	1		•
*	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			\		
	•	,		,	-	
•		•			•	
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• ,		7 4	,	
	•		•		•	23
٠.						
		•			OL CF USE ONLY	
		*	1			
		•			 	- ' -
20 Doe	s your project seek information	about successful progra	ms from other communities?	YES N	O If yes, how?	
,			•			
	•					
د و	_				-	
	•					
	•					
	•	• '				
	,		ব			
	•					
	•					
	•	•			OFFICE USE ONLY	
		4				
					1 1 1	
30 Do	es your project incorporate other	successful programs or	noncommercial curriculum	mails into your proje	ct? 🗋 YES 🗌 NO	
If y	es, provide an example.				_	
		4		•		
>	- we have		•			_
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					•
		•	•			
		•		•	\ ~~	
						•
•	27				` <i>X</i>	
	- 	_			\boldsymbol{y}	
		•		•		
		6				
;			•		•	
				• D D		
. 31 Do	es your project attempt to coord	linate your curriculum	with that of the sending State	7 YES NO.	it yes, how?	
`.	. •					
	`				1	
		•		,	•	
		4	•	*		
		t.			•	
	•	•				
					OFFICE PER ONLY	
	~		•	-1-1	OFFICE USE ONLY	1 .
	•	· ′				
				 	-1 -1 (<u></u>
32 Do	es your project participate in thi	Texas teacher exchang	je program? 🔲 i ES 🔲 i	if yes, briefly descri	be how this cooperation beni	fited your
				program.		•
•	~	•		*		
	,					
				•		
٠ 🔐		•				
	•				•	
	.•	,	_			~
			•			
	•		1100		OFFICE USE ONLY	
a		•	128	· .		
DIO.	1	• •		1 -1 1	4 4 4 4	

	. 4									
Program Ac		7. 4			TED BY TIT					,
INSTRUCT	ONS Select	from the list b	selow the ac	livity which m	ost adequately	describes the	instructional	complete this for activities of your procedure for	ur project an	d enter the cod
. 1 Reading/	Language Arts	,	-	6. Career A	wareness		1	1. Arτ		·
20 Mathema		oment .		7 Natural: 8 Physical	Science Education/Rea	creation		 Speech Other (spec 	ofy)	
45 Bilingual 5 Improve	Instruction Cultural Pride	:/Self identifica	ition	9. Social Si	cience					
				Do not combi	ne instructiona	l aréas		<u> </u>	•	
							onal or enrich	ment activity b	y age levels.	
INSTRU	JCTIONAL O ACTIV (Enter Code	R ENRICHME ITY Number)	NT	3.5	NUMBER OF	PARTICIPAT.	ING CHILDR	EN BY AGE	15-16	TOTAL
	_			5-5	0.5	3710		13-14		
34 Check on	e or more of t	the techniques	listed below	that were used	to implement	the above acti	vitv.			↓
	viduʿālized Ins			<u></u>	roup Instructio			Group Counse	ling Sessions	<u> </u>
==	rial Assistanc		>	Field Tr	•			Drill	-	
☐ Sma	ill Group Instr	uction (2.7)		Individu	ialized Counsel	ing		Other (specify) .	
35 Please sta	te expected st	andard of succ	ess:						,	
			•					•		,
										,
			·							
36. Number (of FTE Teache	ers		lumber of FTE	Δidee		Other (rnanifu)	*	, ,
-					_	etermining Su	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		erted below	Then report the
number v	vho equaled o	r surpassed the	standard fo	r success under	the column ma	rked "success	7/2-27		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AGE		RDIZED STS	MAD	ACHER E TESTS	OBSEF	RVATION	REFER	THER pecify)		
AGE	· Total	Success	Total	Success	Total	Success	Total	Success	Total	Success
3-5							17			
w 6-8						r	•			
9-10									,	1.
11-12		,							,	
13-14	,						<u> </u>			
				1.				 		
15-16 38 What was	the major fac	tor that contri	huted to the	achievement o	of those student	te sub o equalec	Los europerad	your standard o	-1	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Bonneyomont	11030 3104911	(or surpassou	your standard	DI SUCCESSI	
,		·	,	•					•	
				*		1 .		OFFICE I	U SE ONI.Y -	`
			,					- OFFICE (JSE ONLT -	T. 1
20 18/5 - 4	Aha		ha fail, as ad							
S What was	in≢ main tact	or that led to 1	ine tallure of	those students	who did not a	Chieve your st	andard of suc	ess?		
			V	• \		,, (-	••
						•		•		*
X ,		•		•	,			- OFFICE I	t USE ONLY -	•
	_				120	د.	1	OFFICE (32 011 21 -	
	·	,	<u>.</u>	···	129		1			